# **British Thoracic Society Guidelines for the Management of Community**

# Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

#### Authors

#### Michael Harris

Paediatric Registrar, Oxford Children's Hospital, The John Radcliffe, Headley Way, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU

#### Julia Clark

Consultant Paediatric Immunology and Infectious Disease, Department of Paediatric Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Old COPD, Great North Children's Hospital, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP

### Nicky Coote

Consultant Paediatrician, Children's Ambulatory Unit, Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, Du Cane Road, London W12 0HS

### Penny Fletcher

Senior Lead Pharmacist Women and Children, (St Mary's Hospital), Pharmacy Department, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, St. Mary's Hospital, Praed Street, London, W2 1NY

#### Anthony Harnden

University Lecturer and Principal in General Practice, Department of Primary Health Care, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Headington, Oxford OX3 7LF

#### Michael McKean

Consultant Respiratory Paediatrician, Department of Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, 3rd Floor Doctor's Residency Building, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE61 1JR

#### Anne Thomson

Consultant Respiratory Paediatrician, Oxford Children's Hospital, The John Radcliffe, Headley Way, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU Email:anne.thomson@orh.nhs.uk
Tel:01865234194

### **Synopsis of Recommendations**

#### Clinical Features

 Bacterial pneumonia should be considered in children when there is persistent or repetitive fever >38.5°C together with chest recession and a raised respiratory rate. [D]

### Investigations

- Chest radiography should not be considered a routine investigation in children thought to have community acquired pneumonia (CAP). [A-]
- Children with signs and symptoms of pneumonia who are not admitted to hospital should not have a chest radiograph. [A-]
- A lateral radiograph should not be performed routinely. [B-]
- Acute phase reactants are not of clinical utility in distinguishing viral from bacterial infections and should not routinely be tested. [A-]
- CRP is not useful in the management of uncomplicated pneumonia and should not be measured routinely. [A+]
- Microbiological diagnosis should be attempted in children with severe pneumonia, sufficient to require paediatric intensive care admission, or those with complications of CAP. [C]
- Microbiological investigations should not be considered routinely in those with milder disease or those treated in the community. [C]
- Microbiological methods used should include:
  - Blood culture. [C]
  - Nasopharyngeal secretions and/or nasal swabs for viral detection by PCR and/or immunofluorescence. [C]
  - Acute and convalescent serology for respiratory viruses, *Mycoplasma* and *Chlamydia*. [B+]
  - o If present, pleural fluid should be sent for microscopy, culture, pneumococcal antigen detection and/or PCR. [C]
  - Urinary pneumococcal antigen detection should not be done in young children. [C]

### Severity Assessment

- For a child in the community, re-consultation to the general practitioner with persistent fever, or parental concern about persistent fever, should prompt consideration of CAP. [D]
- For children with CAP, reassessment is important, whether in the community or in hospital. [D]
- Hypoxia (SaO2 <92%) in all children is an indication for hospital assessment and management. [B+]
- Auscultation revealing absent breath sounds with a dull percussion note should raise the possibility of a pneumonia complicated by effusion and should trigger a referral to hospital. [B-]
- A child in hospital should be reassessed medically if there is persistence of fever 48 hours after initiation of treatment, increased work of breathing or if the child is becoming distressed or agitated. [D]

# General Management

- Families of children who are well enough to be cared for at home should be given information on managing pyrexia, preventing dehydration, and identifying any deterioration. [D]
- Patients whose oxygen saturation is 92% or less while breathing air should be treated with oxygen given by nasal cannulae, high flow delivery device, head box or face mask to maintain oxygen saturation above 92%. [B]
- Nasogastric tubes may compromise breathing and should therefore be avoided in severely ill children and especially in infants with small nasal passages. If use cannot be avoided, the smallest tube should be passed down the smallest nostril. [D]
- Plasma sodium, potassium, urea and/or creatinine should be measured at baseline and at least daily when on intravenous fluids. [C]
- Chest physiotherapy is not beneficial and should not be performed in children with pneumonia. [A-]

### Antibiotic Management

- Children under 2 years, presenting with mild symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection need not be treated with antibiotics but should be reviewed if symptoms persist. A history of conjugate pneumococcal vaccination gives greater confidence to this decision. [C]
- As bacterial pneumonia cannot be clinically distinguished from viral, all other children with a clinical diagnosis of pneumonia should receive antibiotics. [C]
- Amoxicillin is first choice for oral antibiotic therapy in all children because it is effective against the majority of pathogens which cause CAP in this group, is well tolerated, and cheap. Alternatives are coamoxiclav, cefaclor, erythromycin, azithromycin and clarithromycin. [B]
- Macrolide antibiotics may be added at any age if there is no response to first line empiric therapy. [D]
- Macrolide antibiotics should be used if either mycoplasma or chlamydia pneumonia is suspected (or in very severe disease). [D]
- Amoxicillin should be used as first line treatment at any age if *S. pneumoniae* is thought to be the likely pathogen. [B]
- If Staph. aureus is thought the likely pathogen, augmentin or a combination of flucloxacillin with amoxicillin, is appropriate. [D]
- In pneumonia associated with influenza, co-amoxiclav is recommended. [D]
- Antibiotics administered orally are safe and effective for children presenting with even severe CAP and are recommended. [A+]
- Intravenous antibiotics should be used in the treatment of pneumonia in children when the child is unable to tolerate oral fluids or absorb oral antibiotics (for example, because of vomiting) or presents with signs of sepsis or complicated pneumonia. [D]
- Appropriate intravenous antibiotics for severe pneumonia include amoxicillin, co-amoxiclav, cefuroxime, and cefotaxime/ceftriaxone.
   These can be rationalised if a microbiological diagnosis is made. [D]

- In a patient who is receiving intravenous antibiotic therapy for the treatment of CAP, oral treatment should be considered if there is clear evidence of improvement. [D]
- Children less than 2 years old, presenting with mild symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection who are unvaccinated or felt to require antibiotics, 3 days amoxicillin can be given. [B]
- All other children should have standard 5 day course amoxicillin in the absence of any short course evidence. [D]

### Complications

- If a child remains pyrexial or unwell 48 hours after treatment has commenced, re-evaluation is necessary with consideration given to possible complications. [D]
- Children with severe pneumonia, empyema and lung abscesses should be followed up after discharge until they have recovered completely and their chest radiograph has returned to near normal. [D]

### Follow up

• Follow-up radiography is not required in those who were previously healthy and who are recovering well, but should be considered in those with a round pneumonia, collapse or persisting symptoms. [B+]



1. Introduction and Methods

The British Thoracic Society (BTS) first published management guidelines for

Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) in children in 2002 and covered

available evidence to early 2000.

These updated guidelines represent a review of new evidence since then and

consensus clinical opinion where evidence was not found. As before, these

guidelines have been produced in parallel with those being produced for

adults, which have also been updated.

This document incorporates material from the 2002 guidelines and supersedes

the previous guideline document.

Community acquired pneumonia can be defined clinically as the presence of

signs and symptoms of pneumonia in a previously healthy child due to an

infection which has been acquired outside hospital. In developed countries

this can be verified by the radiological finding of consolidation. In the

developing world a more practical term – acute lower respiratory tract infection

- is preferred, reflecting the difficulties in obtaining a radiograph.

Ideally, the definition would include the isolation of a responsible organism.

However, it is apparent from many studies that a pathogen is not identified in a

significant proportion of cases that otherwise meet the clinical definition (see

section 3 on Aetiology). As it is assumed that CAP is caused by infection, the

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

presumption is that current techniques have insufficient sensitivity to detect all

relevant pathogens. Treatment guidelines therefore have to assume that,

where pathogens are isolated, they represent all likely pathogens. There is a

clear need for better diagnostic methods.

In creating guidelines it is necessary to assess all available evidence with

consideration of the quality of that evidence. This we have endeavoured to

do. We have then produced a combination of evidence statements and

recommendations about management based on the available evidence,

supplemented by consensus clinical opinion where no relevant evidence was

found.

Methods of Guideline Development

Scope of guidelines

These guidelines address the management of CAP in infants and children in

the United Kingdom. They do not include neonates or infants with respiratory

syncytial virus bronchiolitis, nor children with upper respiratory tract infection,

mild fever and wheeze. The specific management of children with pre-existing

respiratory disease or that of opportunistic pneumonias in immunosuppressed

children is not addressed.

Guideline Development Group

The guideline development group was set up by the BTS Standards of Care

Committee and comprised two paediatricians with a special interest in

respiratory disease, a paediatrician with a special interest in paediatric

infectious diseases, a general paediatrician with a special interest in

ambulatory paediatrics, a specialist trainee in paediatrics, a general

practitioner with an interest in childhood infection and a paediatric pharmacist.

An Information Specialist developed the search strategy and ran the searches.

No external funding was obtained to support the development of the

guidelines.

Identification of evidence

A search strategy was developed by an Information Specialist from the Centre

for Reviews and Dissemination in York (part of the National Institute for Health

Research). The Search strategy and the results are located in Appendix 1.

The Cochrane Library (DARE and Cochrane Database of Systematic

Reviews), MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched from 2000 onwards. There

were some technical changes made to the original search strategies to reduce

the chances of missing studies: a single search strategy was used, rather

than separate strategies for each subject. Studies were limited to English

language in view of the limitations on time and resources.

2076 studies were identified by the searches, which were rerun in July 2010.

The updated search identified a further 511 titles.

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Assessing the literature

Initial review of the 2076 titles and abstracts was undertaken by one reviewer,

screening for relevance. This was repeated after the second search by

another reviewer. The relevant titles and abstracts were grouped by subject

matter with many papers being relevant for more than one subject area.

Two reviewers then assessed the studies for inclusion. Studies from countries

where the populations or clinical practices were very different from the UK

were excluded unless they addressed questions that could be generalised to

the UK (such as clinical assessment). Any differences of opinion were settled

by a third party.

The studies were appraised using the Cochrane data extraction template

(Appendix 2).

Any guideline statements made were graded using the same table used by the

group developing the adult guidelines (Table in Appendix 3).

### 2. Incidence and economic consequences

### 2.1 How common is CAP in children in the community and in hospital?

Two recent European papers give incidence rates for CAP in children seen in hospital (Table 2.1) which are lower than those reported previously from the 1980's in Finland (1) [1b].

A prospective population based study of 278 Norwegian children <16 years seen in hospital with pneumonia (temperature, clinical signs and chest radiograph infiltrate in previously well child) from 2003-2005 in Oslo gave population incidence rates per 10,000 of 14.7 aged 0-16 years, 32.8 aged 0-5 years and 42.1 aged 0-2 years (2) [III].

UK data for children seen at hospital with pneumonia (clinical findings and chest radiograph) 2001-2002 (n = 750) from a prospective population based study in 13 hospitals in the North of England are remarkably similar. Overall 14.4 aged 0-16 years per annum and 33.8 for those <5 years (per 10,000). Rates of those admitted to hospital were less at 12.2 (11.3-13.2) aged 0-16 years and 28.7 (26.2 – 31.4) aged 0-5 years (3) [II].

Table 2.1 Incidence per 10,000 population CI = confidence interval

Country	Disease	Definition of	Age	CI	Age	CI	Age	CI	Age	CI	Age	CI
		pneumonia	0-1yr		0-		0-		0-		0-	
					2yrs		3yrs		5yrs		16yrs	

Pneumonia	Signs & CXR			42.1	(32-			32.8	(26.8-	14.7	(12.2-
					52.3)				38.8)		17.1)
Pneumonia	Signs &							33.8	(31.1-	14.4	(13.4-
	CXR								36.7)		15.4)
Pneumonia	Clinical					137					
	including										
	Comorbidity										
Pneumonia	Clinical by							150.1			
	Parental	181.1									
	Interview										
Pneumonia	Signs &							28.7	(26.2-	12.2	(11.3-
	CXR								31.4)		13.2)
Pneumonia	Signs & CXR							65.8		30	
&	including	111.3									
Bronchiolitis	Comorbidity										
Pneumonia	Clinical					107					
	including										
	Comorbidity										
All cause	Coding			129.6							
Pneumonia	Including										
	Co morbidity										
	Pneumonia  Pneumonia  Pneumonia  Pneumonia  Pneumonia  All cause	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  & including Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  including 111.3  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental 181.1 Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  & including 111.3  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  & including 111.3  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including Including	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including Incl	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental 181.1 Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including 111.3  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical by Parental Interview  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Cinical including Including Including Including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including Inc	Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  Pneumonia Signs & CXR  Pneumonia Clinical including 1111.3  Bronchiolitis Comorbidity  Pneumonia Clinical including Comorbidity  All cause Coding Pneumonia Including  Including Including	Pneumonia   Signs &	Pneumonia   Signs &   CXR     33.8   33.8   (31.1-   14.4   36.7)

A population based study in Kiel, Germany from 1996-2000 of children (n = 514) with severe i.e. hospitalised pneumonia (clinical assessment plus chest radiograph in 96.1%) included children with comorbidities. (22.8%) and almost certainly what in the UK would be called bronchiolitis (4) [II]. Here the overall incidence (per 10,000) was 30 aged 0-16 years, 65.8 aged 0-5 years and 111.3 aged 0-1 year. A series of retrospective population based cohort studies from the same Schleswig-Holstein area of Germany conducted in BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

1999-2001 from parental interviews at school entry permitted the calculation of

population based incidence of all community acquired pneumonia diagnosed

by physician as: 181.1/10,000 aged 0-1 year and 150.5/10,000 aged 0-5 years

(5) [III].

Further estimates of pneumonia incidence can be obtained from the PRI.DE

(Paediatric Respiratory Infection in Germany) study (6) [II]. This prospective

cohort study was designed to represent the German population of children <3

years and included children with lower respiratory tract infection (including

pneumonia, wheeze, bronchitis, bronchiolitis and croup) presenting to primary

or secondary care from 1999-2001. Some 2386 children were seen as

outpatients (2870/10,000 population 95% CI 2770-2970) and 114 given a

clinical diagnosis of pneumonia (137/10,000). In addition 2924 inpatients

(294/10,000 population (95% CI 284-304) were included in the study with 1004

given a clinical diagnosis of pneumonia (101/10,000).

Incidence of all cause and pneumococcal pneumonia in children <2 years and

pneumococcal pneumonia in children 2-4 years decreased in the US after

pneumococcal (PCV) vaccination became universal (7) [III]. In the UK

childhood pneumonia admission rates decreased by 19% between 2006 and

2008 to 10.79/10,000 following introduction of PCV7 to the national childhood

immunisation programme (8) [III].

2.2 Are there pathogen-specific incidence rates?

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

As discussed in Chapter 3 determining pneumonia aetiology is critically dependent on the thoroughness of the search and the methods used. Recently there have been attempts to estimate the contribution of pneumococcal disease. Data from an enhanced surveillance system for laboratory confirmed invasive pneumococcal disease in England and Wales from 1996 - 2000 together with hospital episode statistics for codes related to pneumonia or pneumococcal disease and data from weekly Royal College of General Practitioner returns were examined (6) [II]. Age-specific incidence rates per 100,000 population were calculated for non-meningitis, confirmed invasive pneumococcal disease and range from 59.7 in infants <1 month to 0.8 in children 10-14 years (table 2.2). These rates are lower than the preconjugate vaccine data on hospital admissions coded for pneumonia with pneumococcal disease from the US (8) [III].

Table 2.2 Incidence rate per 100 000 population CI = confidence interval

		Pneumococcal		
Age	Groups	Sepsis & Pneumonia	CI	Pneumonia Pneumococcal
		UK		U.S.
> 1 month		59.7	50.8-64.8	
1-11 months		23.4	21.7-25.2	
	0-2 yrs			26.2
1-4 yrs		9.9	9.4-10.4	
	2-4 yrs			27.2
5-9 yrs		1.8	1.6-2	
	5-17 yrs			3.5
10-14 yrs		0.8	0.7-1	

# 2.3 Are there any known risk factors?

In the UK study (3) [II] males had higher incidence rates at all ages. Severe disease as assessed by BTS management guidelines 2002 was significantly more likely in children <5 years (19.4 (95% CI 17.4-21.7)/10,000 per year (OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.07-2.11) and in those born at 24 – 28 weeks gestation versus those born at >37 weeks (OR 4.02, 95% CI 1.16-13.85).

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

When based on pattern of chest radiograph changes (defined as patchy, lobar

or perihilar), patchy pneumonic changes were most common in those <5 years

(18.7/10,000) compared with lobar (5.6/10,000) and perihilar (7.2/10,000), with

rates of patchy, 2.7/10,000, lobar, 0.9/10,000 and perihilar, 0.5/10,000 of those

aged 5-15 years. Overall lobar pneumonia accounted for only 17.6% of all

cases

Use of gastric acid inhibitors is associated with increased pneumonia risk in

adults. A single study has suggested this may also be true in children (9) [III].

2.3.1 Seasonality

A marked seasonal pattern with winter preponderance was seen for laboratory

reported invasive pneumococcal disease and hospital admissions due to

confirmed pneumococcal infection. December and January showed a peak 3-

5 times higher than August (10) [III]. Senstad also reported a low incidence of

hospital CAP in summer and a peak in January (2) [III]. There is marked

seasonal variation in viral infections such as RSV, Influenza and Parainfluenza

1+2 (11) [III], (12) [II], (10) [III]. Parainfluenza 3, however, is found throughout

the year (6) [II].

2.4 What are the economic consequences of CAP in children?

 ${\tt BTS} \ Guidelines \ for \ the \ Management \ of \ Community \ Acquired \ Pneumonia \ in \ Children: \ Update \ 2011$ 

A number of recent studies have examined the economic costs of CAP. An

Italian study of 99 children hospitalised with pneumonia in 1999 (11) [III]

calculated the costs of hospital management. Mean cost per patient was

1,435 Euro (£1289). Cost increased in those solely treated with intravenous

antibiotics - 2,553 Euro (£2294). Costs were reduced in those switched to the

oral route after 24-48 hours -1,218 Euro (£1094) or those treated exclusively

with oral antibiotics – 1,066 Euro (£958).

In the PRI.DE study of infants and children up to 36 months of age with lower

respiratory tract infection, economic resource data was collected (12) [II]. A

total of 1329 cases in primary care and 2039 hospitalised cases were

analysed. For those classified as pneumonia, direct medical costs were 85

Euro (£76) per office-based case and 2,306 Euro (£2072) per hospitalised

case. Parental costs amounted to a further 53 Euro (£47) per office-based

case and 118 Euro (£106) per hospitalised case. Further information on

indirect family costs for a child with CAP, such as days of work missed, travel

costs to primary/secondary care, and so forth, amounted, in an Israeli study, to

976 Israeli shekels (£161) for hospitalised patients; 747 (£123) for those seen

at emergency facilities and 448 (£73) for those seen in primary care (13) [III].

Resource use data was routinely collected in the North of England CAP study

2001-2002 (14) [IVb]. This included preadmission GP visits, antibiotics

prescribed in the community and in hospital, and number of days of hospital

care including any intensive care. Standard NHS list cost data were applied

and inflated to 2005/6 levels. The average cost per admitted patient (n= 636)

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

was £2,857. Mean cost for severe pneumonia was £3,513 (mean hospital

stay 5.5 days), falling to £2,325 in moderate (hospital stay 4.7 days), and £909

in mild cases (hospital stay 1.7 days). Hospitalisation (non-intensive care)

costs accounted for 70% of the total with a further 25% accounted for by

intensive care stays. Cost analysis has also been performed on the PIVOT

trial, a randomised controlled equivalence trial that demonstrated therapeutic

equivalence for oral amoxicillin and intravenous benzyl penicillin in children

admitted to hospital (15) [III]. The average costs to the health service were

lower at £1,410 for intravenous treatment and £937 for oral treatment,

demonstrating cost savings of £473-518 per child when oral amoxicillin was

used.

Overall, therefore, the potential annual direct medical costs of children aged 0-

16 years admitted to hospital with pneumonia are £12-18k/10,000/year in the

UK. The UK population 0-16 years is 11.509million (Office for National

Statistics 2007). Therefore, around £13-20 million per annum is spent on

children with CAP admitted to hospital. In addition, there are direct costs to

families and indirect costs to the economy from parental time off work.

**Evidence Statemements** 

• The European incidence of CAP, defined as fever, clinical signs and

chest radiograph infiltrate in a previously well child is approximately

33/10,000 aged 0-5 years and 14.5/10,000 aged 0 -16 years. [A-]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

 Males have higher incidence at all ages. Children < 5 years of age and those born between 24 and 28 weeks gestation have a higher incidence of severe disease. [B-]



3. Aetiology

Studies of the aetiology of CAP are complicated by the low yield of blood

cultures, (16) [II] (17) [Ib] (18) [II] (19) [II] (20) [II], the difficulty in obtaining

adequate sputum specimens, and the reluctance to perform lung aspiration

and bronchoalveolar lavage in children.

All of the following also limit the ability to extrapolate the results of published

studies to other populations: the season of the year in which the study was

done, the age of those studied, the setting, whether or not children were

admitted to hospital and the local criteria for admission, as well as whether or

not the study period coincides with an epidemic of a certain pathogen. It is

now further complicated by the increasing numbers of studies using specific

serological or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques that include

relatively small sample sizes. However, over the last 10 years PCR

techniques have considerably developed and been applied both to viral

detection on nasopharyngeal aspirates (NPA) or secretions, thus increasing

respiratory viral identification, and to blood, increasing pneumococcal

detection (21) [II] (22) [lb].

3.1 What are the causes of CAP?

Studies of specific pathogens in developed countries are summarized in Table

3.1. All of these are prospective studies in which pneumonia was community

acquired and where the case definition includes clinical findings compatible

with pneumonia together with radiological changes. All constitute levels of

evidence of [lb] or [ll] (indicated). In the columns, the percentage indicates

the percentage of all CAP cases in which that organism was detected. Where

both viral and bacterial isolates were detected, it was classified as mixed and

indicated in a separate column. In some studies it was not possible to

determine whether infections were single or mixed (as indicated). Bacterial

isolates are not included if isolated from a sputum or upper respiratory tract

specimen in the absence of other evidence of significance — for example, a

rise in antibody concentrations.

The studies are updated from the previous guidelines and cover years 2000-

10. Only two come from a UK population, though several are from Europe.

Most studies are designed to investigate specific pathogens, either viruses or

Mycoplasma/Chlamydia, with only a few studies designed to look more widely

at aetiology. In these, the diagnostic yield has improved since 2000, with a

pathogen identified in 65-86% (23) [II] (24) [Ib] (25) [Ib] (26) [Ib]. It is also

apparent that a significant number of cases of CAP represent a mixed

The most comprehensive studies find a mixed viral-bacterial infection.

infection in 23-33% (17) [lb] (24) [lb] (26) [lb].

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Ref [level]	Age	Year and setting	Tests	Total episodes	Viral %	Bacteria % (no)	Mycoplasma % (no)	Chlamydia % (no)	Mixed % (no)	Total diagnosed % (no)
Wolf (27) [lb]	< 5 years	ED	NPA hMPV PCR; NPIA	1296	RSV 23.1 HMPV 8.3 Adeno 3.4 Inf A 2.9 Paraflu 2.9					
Cilla (28) [lb]	1-35 months	2004-6; Spain; IP+OP	NPIA + PCR, BC, serology, Binax pleural fluid	338	67 (18 viral co- infection) RSV 19.8 HboV 14.2 RV13.6 HMPV 11.5 Corona 6.5	Spn 2.1 (7)	1.8 (6)	*	n/a	n/a
Haman (29) [II]	0-19 years	2005-6 Japan	NPA PCR	1700	27.9 (2.1% multiple) RV14.5 RSV 9.4 HMPV 7.2 HboV 2.9	8	14.8 (251)	1.4 (24)	15.2	n/a§
Don (23) [II]	0.3-16yrs	2001-2; Italy; IP+OP	Serology (viral and bacterial)	101	42 (3 dual) RSV 17 Paraflu 12 Inf 9 HMPV 5	44 Spn18 HI 3 Mcat 1	26.7(27) <2years;1 2-5 years;8 >5 years;18 p<0.0001	7.9(8)	20	65(66)
Lin (30) [II]	3mths- 18years	2001-2; Taiwan; IP	NPIA, NPVC; hMPV PCR; BC; Urine Spn ag; serology MP+CP	116	38.8 (45) RSV 28.9 Adeno 28.9 HMPV 13.3 Inf 13.3	§	37.9 (44)	4.3 (5)	n/a	n/a§
Michelow (24) [lb]	6wks- 18yrs	1999-2000; USA; IP	NPIA, NPVC; Spn BPCR; BC; Serology – viral, Spn, MP, CP	154	45(65) RSV13 Infl 22 Paraflu13 Adeno 7	60(93) Spn 44(68) GAS 1(2) SA 1(2)	14(21)	9(14)	23	79(122)
Macherel (26) [lb]	2mths- 5yrs	2003-5; Switzerland: IP	NPIA + PCR; Spn BPCR; BC; Serology- viral, Spn, MP, CP;	99	67 RV 20 Hmpv 13 RSV 13 Inf 14 Paraflu 13 Adeno 7 Coron 7	53(52) Spn 46(45) GAS 1(1)	11	7	33(33)	86(85)

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

Drummond (31) [II]	0-16yrs	1996-1998; UK; IP	NPIA; NPVC Serology- viral, Spn, MP, CP; urine Spn ag;	136	37(50) RSV 25 InfA 5 CMV 3 Adeno1.4	12.5 (17) GAS 7(9) Spn 4 (5)	2(3)		11(15)	51(70)
Laundy (32) [II]	0-5yrs	2001-2; UK; IP+OP	NPIA+PCR;BC Specifically viral testing	51	43(22) RSV18(9) InflA16(8) Adeno6(3) PIV6(3)	12 (6) Spn 6	4(2)	n/a	n/a	49(25)
Tsolia (25) [lb]	5-14yrs	?year; Greece; IP	NPA PCR; serology – MP, CP, Spn, HI, Mcat;	75	65(49) RV 45(34) Adeno 12(9) PIV 8(6) Inf 7(5) RSV3(2) HMPV 1(1)	40(30) Spn 7(5)	35(26)	3(2)	28(21)	77(58)

Table 3.1 Prospective Studies of Specific Pathogens from Developed Countries

IP = inpatients; OP = outpatients; ED = emergency department; BC = blood culture; NPIA = nasopharyngeal immunoassay; NPVC = nasopharyngeal viral culture; PC = pharyngeal culture; NPA PCR = nasopharyngeal polymerase chain reaction; BPCR = blood polymerase chain reaction; RSV = respiratory syncytial virus; hMPV = human metapneumovirus; Infl = Influenza A&B virus; Paraflu = parainfluenza virus 1-3; HboV = human Bocavirus; RV = rhinovirus; Corona = coronavirus; adeno = adenovirus; Spn = Streptococcus pneumoniae; GAS = Group A streptococcus; MP = mycoplasma; CP = Chlamydia pneumoniae; HI = H.influnzae; Mcat = M.catarrhalis; ag = antigen \*No serological tests for Cpn performed. †Studies designed as trials of antibiotic therapy. § All bacterial cases identified by NPA PCR therefore difficult to distinguish carriage from pathogen. ¶Assumes no mixed infections... n/a= not available

A number of viruses appear to be associated with CAP, the predominant one

being respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). RSV, parainfluenza and influenza are

detected in similar proportions of children with pneumonia both in the

community and in hospital (6) [II]. Influenza virus was detected relatively

infrequently in paediatric pneumonia using immunofluorescence (IF) (31) [II].

However, with PCR techniques, Influenza is found in 7 to 22% (28) [lb] (25)

[lb] (24) [lb]. In the UK, during a six month winter 'flu season, 16% of children

with pneumonia had Influenza A (32) [II]. Other viruses isolated in children

with pneumonia include: adenovirus, rhinovirus, varicella zoster virus,

cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus, and enteroviruses.

Several new viruses have been identified and are regularly associated with

pneumonia. Human metapneumovirus (HMPV) has been identified in 8% to

11.9% (33) [lb] (34) [lb] (35) [lb] (28) [lb] and Human Bocavirus (HboV)

recently from 4.5% in Thailand (36) [lb] to 14.2% in Spain (28) [lb] and

15.2% in Korea (33) [lb]. Coronavirus is identified in 1.5% (33) [lb] to 6.5%

(28) [lb] (26) [lb]. Overall, viruses appear to account for 30-67% of CAP

cases in childhood and are more frequently identified in children < 1 year old

compared with over 2 years (77% v 59%) (28) [lb] (24) [lb].

3.2.2 Which bacteria are associated with CAP?

Quantifying the proportion of CAP caused by bacteria is more difficult. Streptococcus pneumoniae (SPn) is assumed to be the most common bacterial cause of CAP, but is infrequently found in blood cultures. Overall, blood or pleural fluid culture of SPn is positive in 4–10% of cases of CAP (16) [II] (17) [Ib] (18) [II] (19) [II] (20, 28) [II] (37) [II]. It is commonly found in routine cultures of upper respiratory tract specimens, yet is known to be a commensal in this setting. A review of lung tap studies found 39% identified SPn (38) [III]. A recent study of 34 children in Finland who had a lung aspirate, identified SPn in 90% either by culture or PCR (39) [II]. Pneumolysin-based PCR is increasingly used and validated (21) [II] (22) [Ib]. Studies incorporating this into diagnosis in children not immunized with the conjugate pneumococcal vaccine have detected Spn in around 44% (24) [lb], often as a co-pathogen with either viruses or other bacteria. The proportion of CAP due to S. pneumoniae increases up to 41% where serological testing is used (26) [lb]. Mixed pneumococcal and viral infections appear important and are found in 62% of pneumococcal pneumonias (26) [lb].

Pneumococcal serotypes (ST) are important with ST 14, 6B, 19F, and 23F implicated more frequently with invasive pneumococcal disease and ST 1 in empyema. The most common IPD isolates since the introduction of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine (PCV7) in Europe, including the UK, were serotypes 1, 19A, 3, 6A, and 7F (40) [lb]. There are no UK data on the most frequent serotypes found in pneumonia, though ST 1 has been predominantly responsible for empyema (41) [lb]. Recent, post PCV7 data on serotypes identified in bacteraemic pneumonia in children from Italy, found ST1 and 19A

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

to be the most common (22) [lb]. Both these serotypes are included in PCV13, introduced into the UK immunisation schedule in 2010.

With the introduction of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines, indirect evidence of vaccine efficacy for the prevention of pneumonia can be used to assess the contribution of SPn to CAP. In children under 2 years, all trials have consistently shown a decrease in radiologically confirmed pneumonia from 23% in the Phillipines using PCV 11 (42) [lb] to 37% in the Gambia with PCV 9 (43) [lb] and 23.4% in California with PCV 7 (44) [lb]. The effect is most striking in the first year with a 32.2% reduction, and a 23.4% reduction in the first 2 years (44) [lb]. A recent PCV11 study found that although 34% of radiologically confirmed pneumonias were prevented in children under 1 year, there was only a 2.7% decrease in those 12 to 23 months old (42) [lb]. In children over 2 years there was only a 9.1% reduction (44) [lb]. A Cochrane systematic review found a pooled vaccine efficacy for PCV 11 for reduction of radiograph-confirmed pneumonia in children under 2 years was 27% and clinical pneumonia 6% (45) [la].

The introduction of PCV7 has dramatically decreased invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) due to vaccine serotypes in those countries where it has been universally introduced, but a steady increase in vaccine serotype replacement has been evident in the UK to 2010, so that the total IPD rate due to all serotypes was climbing back to similar rates before the introduction of PCV7 (http://www.hpa.org.uk/HPA/Topics/InfectiousDiseases/InfectionsAZ/1203008 863939/). This trend is expected to reverse with the introduction of PCV13 (http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb\_C/1245581527892).

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

Other bacterial pathogens appear to be less frequent causes of CAP. Group

A streptococcal infection (GAS) is important in terms of severity as, when

present, is more likely to progress to PICU admission or empyema (46) [III]

(31) [II]. When looked for, it may be found in 1% (24) [lb] (26) [lb] to 7% (31)

[II]. It is increasingly associated with pneumonia complicated by empyema,

as is S. aureus (7) [lb].

S. aureus has also long been associated with increased mortality in influenza.

Recent reports indicate a 5-fold increase in influenza and S. aureus mortality

in children in the USA from 2004-7 (47) [lb].

Claesson et al (48) [II] assessed the antibody responses to noncapsulated

Haemophilus influenzae and isolated it as the only pathogen from the

nasopharynx of 43 of 336 children. A significant increase in IgG or IgM was

shown in 16 (5% of all CAP). In the same study, 3% also had a significant

increase in antibodies to Moraxella catarrhalis, suggesting that it, too, is an

uncommon cause of CAP in children (49) [II]. This was supported by another

study by Korppi et al (50) [II] in which seroconversion to M. catarrhalis was

documented in only 1.5% of cases of CAP.

3.2.3 What is the contribution of atypical organisms?

In aetiology studies, Mycoplasma pneumoniae (MPn) previously accounted

for 4-39% of isolates (51). Since 2000, those studies published where Mpn is

 ${\tt BTS}\ Guidelines\ for\ the\ Management\ of\ Community\ Acquired\ Pneumonia\ in\ Children:\ Update\ 2011$ 

specifically sought in children admitted to hospital show remarkable consistency, with rates of detection from 27-36% (see Table 3.2). Where *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is sought, it appears to be responsible for 5-14% of cases, however a single US study detected it in 27% (52) [II]. Biases which need to be considered in these reports include whether children with mycoplasmal (or chlamydial) pneumonia are over represented in hospital based studies because of failure of penicillin related antibiotic treatment in the community, or are over represented in community studies because they are less sick and therefore less likely to be referred to hospital.

New bacteria are also being described. *Simkania negevensis*, a Chlamydia-like organism, is detected frequently by PCR in respiratory samples, though antibody studies suggest it may be rarely implicated in pneumonia (53) [III] (54) [III].

Table 3.2 Aetiology Studies Looking for Atypical Organisms

Ref	Age	Year and	Tests	Total	Mycoplasma	Chlamydia	Mixed
[level]		Setting		Episodes	% (no)	% (no)	% (no)
Kurz (55) [II]	2mths- 18yrs	2006-2007; Austria; IP	NPA culture PCR serology	112		6.7 (4 of 60 tested)	
Principi (56) [lb]	2- 14yrs;	1998-1999; Italy; IP	Serology NPA PCR	418	35.8 (150)	11(46)	6(26)

Baer(57)	1-18yrs	1999-2000; Switzerland	Serology NPA PCR	50	32 (16) 1-3; 22% >3-7;35% >7;40%	8(4)	6(3)
Somer (58) [II]	2mths- 15yrs	1996-1998; Turkey; IP	Serology	140	27 (38)	5(7)	?0
Korppi (59) [II]	<15yrs	1981-2; Finland; IP+OP	Serology (updated from previous study)	201	30 (61) 0-4yrs:9% 5-9yrs:40% 10-14yrs:67%	14(29) 6% 13% 35%	5(10)

# 3.3 Does the aetiology differ by age?

Several generalisations are possible with respect to age. With improved diagnostic tests, including serology and PCR, evidence of specific aetiology tends to be more commonly found in younger children (23) [II] (24) [Ib] (28) [Ib]. Michelow (24) [Ib] detected a pathogen in 92% of children under 6 months but in only 75% of those over 5 years. Although viral infections (especially RSV) are more commonly found in younger children (1) [II] (16) [II] (17) [II] (19) [II] (60) [II] (28) [II], bacteria are also isolated in up to 50% of children under 2 years, together with a virus in up to half of these (24) [Ib]. However bacteria are more frequently identified with increasing age, (24) [Ib]. Hence, mixed infections become less frequent with age (61) [II] (23) [II]. Vaccine probe studies indicate a third of young children with radiological changes have pneumococcal pneumonia (45) [Ia], with serological studies

indicating at least 20% have a pneumococcal aetiology across all ages (23)

[II]. This has implications for the way in which we consider antibiotic choices.

Chlamydia and Mycoplasma species have been more commonly found in

older children (16) [II] (19) [II] (60) [II] (62) [II] (63) [II] (23) [II] (57) [II] (64) [II]

(55) [II]. However, Block et al (52) [II] found the incidence of M. pneumoniae

and C. pneumoniae infections to be comparable in all age groups between 3

and 12 years of age. In particular, the finding of a 23% incidence of M.

pneumoniae infection and 23% of C. pneumoniae infection in children aged

3-4 years is high. Studies recently have supported this, with Baer also noting

a 22% Mpn incidence in children 1-3 years (57) [II]. This raises questions

about appropriate treatment in this age group, although young children may

have milder Mpn infection (65) [IVb] and many recover without specific

antibiotic treatment (66) [II].

**Evidence Statements** 

Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most common bacterial cause of

pneumonia in childhood. [A-]

Streptococcus pneumoniae causes about 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of radiologically

confirmed pneumonia in children under 2 years. [A+]

The introduction of PCV7 has dramatically decreased invasive

pneumococcal disease (IPD) due to vaccine serotypes in the UK, but a

steady increase in vaccine serotype replacement is evident in the UK.

[B+]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

- Pneumonia caused by GAS and S.aureus are more likely than pneumococcal to progress to PICU or empyema. [B-]
- Overall, viruses account for 30-67% of CAP cases in childhood, and are more frequently identified in children < 1 year old compared with over 2 years. [B+]
- A third of cases of CAP (8–40%) represent a mixed infection. [B+]
- Mycoplasma is not unusual in children between 1-5 years. [B+]
- Age is a good predictor of the likely pathogens:
  - Viruses alone are found as a cause in younger children in up to 50%.
  - o In older children, when a bacterial cause is found, it is most commonly *S. pneumoniae* followed by mycoplasma and chlamydial pneumonia [B+].

4. Clinical Features

4.1 How do children with Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) present?

Children with CAP may present with fever, tachypnoea, breathlessness or

difficulty in breathing, cough, wheeze or chest pain. They may also present

with abdominal pain and/or vomiting and may have headache. Children with

upper respiratory tract infection and generalised wheeze with low grade fever

do not have pneumonia.

The clinical features of CAP vary with the age of the child (see Table 6.1 in

Section 6, 'Severity Assessment'). Criteria for diagnosis based on signs and

symptoms tend not be very specific. Early work on diagnostic features was

mainly undertaken in developing countries to assist non-healthcare workers in

identifying need for antibiotics or referral for hospital assessment in areas

without access to radiology. Studies on pneumonia are often difficult to collate

as the clinical settings and criteria for diagnosis can vary widely.

Clark et al (20) [II] recently studied 711 children presenting to hospitals in the

North East of England with a history or signs of lower respiratory tract

infection. Only children seen by a hospital paediatrician with radiograph-

confirmed pneumonia were studied.

This study confirms the importance of respiratory rate as a valuable sign, as

there was a significant correlation between respiratory rate and oxygen

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

saturation (r=-28, p<0.001). This supports previous findings. In infants under

a year, a rate of 70 breaths per minute had a sensitivity of 63% and specificity

of 89% for hypoxaemia (67) [II].

Previously, Palafox et al (68) [II], found that, in children under 5 years, the

WHO definitions for tachypneoa (respiratory rate >60/min for infants <2

months, >50/min in children aged 2-12 months and >40/min in children >12

months) had the highest sensitivity (74%) and specificity (67%) for

radiographically-defined pneumonia. Of note was that respiratory rate was less

sensitive and less specific in the first 3 days of illness.

Respiratory rate was also significantly higher in patients with breathlessness

or difficulty breathing (p<0.001). Significantly lower oxygen saturation was

seen in children of all ages with increased work of breathing.

Respiratory rate is of some value, but work of breathing is more indicative of

the likelihood of pneumonia.

It is worth noting that prolonged fever associated with influenza should raise

the possibility of pneumonia due to secondary bacterial infection (69) [II].

4.2 Are there clinical features that are associated with radiological changes of

pneumonia?

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

In previous studies in infants, chest indrawing and/or respiratory rate of

>50/min gave a positive predictive value of 45% of radiological consolidation

and a negative predictive value of 83% (70) [II]. In children older than 3 years,

tachypnoea and chest recession or indrawing were not sensitive signs.

Children can have pneumonia with respiratory rates <40/min (71) [II].

Crackles and bronchial breathing have been reported to have a sensitivity of

75% and specificity of 57% (67) [II].

An emergency room prospective study of 510 children between 2 and 59

months identified similar clinical findings significantly associated with chest

radiograph infiltrates as follows:

• Age older than 12 months (Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) 1.4, 95% CI 1.1-

1.9),

respiratory rate 50 or greater (AOR 3.5, CI 1.6-7.5),

oxygen saturation 96% or less (AOR 4.6, Cl 2.3-9.2) and,

• in infants 12 months old or younger, nasal flaring (AOR 2.2, CI 1.2-4.0)

(72) [lb].

It must be noted that these features are also likely to be associated with

children with viral induced wheeze where radiographic changes do not

represent pneumonia.

4.3 Can clinical features distinguish between viral, bacterial and atypical

pneumonias?

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Many studies have sought clinical features which might help direct treatment

options. These have largely been retrospective reviews and one small

prospective study that have confirmed previous evidence that there is no way

of reliably distinguishing clinically (nor radiologically) between aetiological

agents (73) [II] (74) [II] (75) [IVb] (76) [III]. This is complicated by mixed

infections, the reported incidence of which varies from 8.2% to 23% (24) [lb].

4.4 Are there specific clinical features associated with individual causative

agents?

4.4.1 Pneumococcal pneumonia

Pneumococcal pneumonia starts with fever and tachypneoa. Cough is not a

feature initially as alveoli have few cough receptors. It is not until lysis occurs

and debris irritates cough receptors in the airways that cough begins.

Many studies therefore emphasise the importance of the history of fever and

breathlessness and the signs of tachypnoea, indrawing and 'toxic' or 'unwell'

appearance.

4.4.2. Mycoplasma pneumonia

Mycoplasma pneumonia can present with cough, chest pain and be

accompanied by wheezing. Classically the symptoms are worse than the

 ${\tt BTS} \ Guidelines \ for \ the \ Management \ of \ Community \ Acquired \ Pneumonia \ in \ Children: \ Update \ 2011$ 

signs would suggest. Non-respiratory symptoms, such as arthralgia and

headache, might also suggest mycoplasma infection (77) [IVb].

Michelow's study (24) [lb] of 154 children found, as has been proposed more

recently, that pre-school children are just as likely as school age to have

atypical pneumonia. There are likely to be geographical variations in these

findings.

4.4.3. Staphylococcal pneumonia

This is indistinguishable from pneumococcal pneumonia at the beginning of

the illness. It remains rare in developed countries where it is usually a disease

of infants. It can complicate influenza in infants and older children. The

incidence is increasing.

**Evidence Statements** 

• Children with CAP may present with fever, tachypnoea, breathlessness

or difficulty in breathing, cough, wheeze or chest pain. These clinical

features of CAP vary with the age of the child and tend not be very

specific for diagnosis. [D]

In children older than 3 years, a history of difficulty breathing is an

additional valuable symptom. [B+]

• A raised respiratory rate is associated with hypoxaemia. [B+]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

# Recommendations

 Bacterial pneumonia should be considered in children when there is persistent or repetitive fever >38.5°C together with chest recession and a raised respiratory rate. [D]



5. Radiological, general and microbiological investigations

5.1 When should a chest radiograph be performed?

The National Institute for Clinical Excellence has recently produced a guideline

(78) for the assessment of febrile illness in children. There is comprehensive

advice on when radiographs should and should not be done in febrile children.

The recommendation of the guideline development group relevant to

pneumonia is:

Children with symptoms and signs suggesting pneumonia who are not

admitted to hospital should not routinely have a chest radiograph.

There are also several other studies that have examined the relationship

between radiographic findings and clinical pneumonia.

A prospective cohort study (72) [lb] of 510 patients in the United States sought

to elucidate clinical variables that could be used to identify children likely to

have radiographic pneumonia, in an effort to spare unnecessary radiography

in children without pneumonia. Radiographic pneumonia was defined as

confluent opacification without volume loss, peripheral rather than central

opacification, and pleural effusion. Hyerinflation, increased peribronchial

markings or subsegmental (band-like) atelectasis were not considered

evidence of pneumonia. 44/510 (8.6%) of cases had radiographic evidence of

pneumonia. The clinical features thought to be more significantly associated

with radiographic evidence of pneumonia have been previously discussed

(see Section 4.2).

Evidence from 1848 radiographs taken as part of a double blind prospective

randomized controlled trial (79) [lb] based at 6 centres in Pakistan, in which

children were diagnosed with non-severe pneumonia (and treated with

antibiotics) based on the WHO criteria of tachypnoea without 'danger

symptoms', showed that a radiological diagnosis of pneumonia was present in

14% (263/1848) with 26 (~1%) of these constituting lobar pneumonia. 223

were classified as having 'interstitial parenchymal changes'. 82% of

radiographs were classified as normal and 4% were classified as

'bronchiolitis'. Of those with radiographic evidence of pneumonia, 96% had

fever, 99% had cough and 89% had difficulty breathing. Of those without

radiographic evidence of pneumonia, 94% had fever, 99% had cough and

91% had difficulty breathing. From this study, it would appear that there is

poor agreement between clinical signs and chest radiography.

Other studies (80) [II] have drawn similar conclusions. In an ambulatory

setting chest radiology did not improve outcome (81).

5.1.1 Should a lateral radiograph be performed?

In a retrospective study of 1268 cases (7608 radiograph interpretations) (82)

III], frontal and lateral chest radiographs of patients referred from an

emergency department in the United States were reviewed by 3 radiologists

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

independently. The sensitivity and specificity of the frontal radiograph alone

for lobar consolidation was 100%. For non-lobar infiltrates, the sensitivity was

85% and the specificity 98%, suggesting that these types of radiographic

changes may be underdiagnosed in 15% of cases. The authors admit that

some of the loss of sensitivity may be due to the wide variability in what is

considered radiographic pneumonia. The clinical implications of these

radiographically underdiagnosed pneumonias are not evident from the study.

Lateral radiographs are not routinely performed in paediatric community-

acquired pneumonia and the recommendation is that they are not necessary

(83) [II] and would mean exposing the child to further radiation.

5.1.2 How good is agreement on interpretation of radiographs?

There is great intra- and inter-observer variation in radiographic features used

for diagnosing CAP. The WHO (84) produced a method for standardizing the

interpretation of chest radiographs in children for epidemiologic purposes, but

even using this scheme, the concordance rate between two trained reviewers

was only 48% (250/521).

5.1.3 Can chest radiography be used to distinguish aetiology?

It is common in clinical practice that alveolar infiltration is thought to be

secondary to a bacterial cause and bilateral diffuse interstitial infiltrates to

atypical bacterial or viral infections. Adequate sensitivity is lacking for either of

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

these assignations. Chest radiography is generally unhelpful for deciding

upon a potential causative agent.

Toikka (85) [II] studied 126 patients, all of whom had radiographs. Bacterial

aetiology was established in 54% and viral in 32%. 14% had unknown

aetiology. Radiographs were divided into 2 groups by 3 radiologists unaware

of the clinical diagnoses and characteristics. Group 1 (n=61), mild or

moderate changes: interstitial infiltrations not covering a whole lung, minor

alveolar infiltrations, hyperaeration, perihilar pneumonia; group 2 (n=61),

marked changes: interstitial changes covering a whole lung, major alveolar

infiltrations, lobar alveolar infiltrations, pleural fluid, abscess formation,

atelectasis. Of those in group 1, 39% had bacterial pneumonia and 45% viral

pneumonia. Of those in group 2, 69% had bacterial pneumonia and 18% viral

pneumonia. Clearly, some bacterial infections are only mild, producing less

marked changes on the chest radiographs and conversely, some viral

infections are severe, producing marked changes on the radiograph.

Aetiology is difficult to assign on the basis of the radiograph.

Virkki (86) [II] studied 254 children with radiographically diagnosed CAP,

assigning aetiology in 215/255 patients. Radiographic findings were classified

as alveolar and/or interstitial pneumonia, hyperaeration, hilar enlargement,

atelectasis, pleural fluid, and location in one or both lungs. Of 137 children

(64%) with alveolar infiltrates, 71% had evidence of bacterial infection. 72% of

134 cases with bacterial pneumonia had alveolar infiltrates. 49% with viral

pneumonia had alveolar infiltrates. Half of those with interstitial infiltrates had

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

bacterial infection. Sensitivity for bacterial infection in those with alveolar

infiltrates was 0.72 and specificity was 0.51. For viral pneumonia with

interstitial infiltrates, sensitivity was 0.49 and specificity 0.72.

Drummond (31) [II] demonstrated in a prospective study of 136 children that

there was no significant difference in aetiology amongst the 5 radiographic

groups into which their cases were divided (lobar consolidation, patchy

consolidation, increased perihilar and peribronchial markings, pneumonitis,

and effusion).

Korppi (76) [II], in a study of 101 Italian children with radiographically defined

pneumonia found no association between radiographic appearances and

aetiology. They found that in 62% (n=44) of children, alveolar infiltrates were

present. In those more than 5 years old, these were present in 68%, although

blood cultures were negative in all cases. Alveolar infiltrates were present in

46% of those with viral aetiology, 67% with pneumococcal aetiology and 70%

in each of those with atypical bacterial and unknown aetiologies.

5.1.4 Are follow-up radiographs necessary?

Two recent studies have examined the utility of follow-up radiographs in

previously healthy children with CAP.

Virkki (87) [II] published the results of a 3 year prospective study of 196

children with CAP. They also followed the children up at 8-10 years post

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

diagnosis. Of 196 follow-up radiographs, there were abnormalities in 30%

(infiltrates 67%, atelectasis 47%, lymph nodes 28%). 20% were new

abnormalities. No change in management was instituted on the basis of these

radiographic findings. 8-10 year follow-up of 194 patients showed no new

illnesses associated with the previous pneumonia. In those with an uneventful

recovery, radiographs are unnecessary.

Suren (88) [III] published the results of a retrospective study of 245 children

recovering from CAP. Of these, 133 had follow-up radiographs, 106 of which

were normal and 27 of which were abnormal. Of the 106 patients with normal

follow-up radiographs, 2 went on to develop further clinical problems (both

recurrent pneumonias with no established underlying cause). Of the 27

patients with abnormal radiographs, 3 developed further clinical problems that

could be related to the previous pneumonia. Of 112 who did not have follow-

up radiographs, 10 developed subsequent clinical problems. Most of these

occurred within the first 4 weeks after discharge, before the regular scheduling

of the follow-up radiograph. The authors established that a follow-up

radiograph might have been helpful in 5/245 cases. These modest benefits

should be balanced against the exposure of children to radiation.

**Evidence Statements** 

Chest radiography is too insensitive to establish whether CAP is of viral or

bacterial aetiology. [B+]

Recommendations

• Chest radiography should not be considered a routine investigation in

children thought to have CAP. [A-]

• Children with signs and symptoms of pneumonia who are not admitted to

hospital should not have a chest radiograph. [A-]

A lateral radiograph should not be performed routinely. [B-]

Follow-up radiography is not required in those who were previously healthy

and who are recovering well, but should be considered in those with a

round pneumonia, collapse or persisting symptoms. [B+]

5.2 What general investigations should be done in a child with suspected CAP

in the community?

There is no indication for any tests in a child with suspected pneumonia in the

community. Again, the recent guidance published by the National Institute for

Health and Clinical Excellence regarding the management of feverish illness in

children provides a useful framework for assessing these patients (see section

5.1).

5.3 What general investigations should be done in a child with CAP who

comes to hospital?

5.3.1 Pulse oximetry

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Oxygen saturation measurements provide a non-invasive estimate of arterial

oxygenation. The oximeter is easy to use and requires no calibration. It does

require a pulsatile signal from the patient and is susceptible to motion artifacts.

The emitting and receiving diodes need to be carefully opposed. To obtain a

reliable reading:

• the child should be still and quiet

a good pulse signal should be obtained

once a signal is obtained, the saturation reading should be watched over at

least 30 seconds and a value recorded once an adequate, stable trace is

obtained.

In a prospective study from Zambia, the risk of death from pneumonia was

significantly increased when hypoxaemia was present (67) [II].

5.3.2 Acute Phase Reactants

Several studies (85) [II] (89) [II] (90) [II] (91) [II] (92) [II] (64) [II] have looked at

using various acute phase reactants as means of differentiating the aetiology

and/or severity of CAP. The utility of procalcitonin (PCT), cytokines, C-

reactive protein (CRP), Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) and White

Blood Cell (WBC) count individually and in combination has been assessed.

Korppi (64) [II] examined WBC, CRP, ESR and PCT levels and chest

radiograph findings in 132 cases in an effort to find combinations of markers

 ${\tt BTS} \ Guidelines \ for \ the \ Management \ of \ Community \ Acquired \ Pneumonia \ in \ Children: \ Update \ 2011$ 

that would differentiate pneumococcal from viral aetiology. They found for a

combination of CRP >80mg/l, WBC >17 x 10<sup>9</sup>/l, PCT >0.8mcg/l and ESR

>63mm/h, the likelihood ratio of the pneumonia being pneumococcal was 1.74

with a sensitivity of 61% and specificity of 65%. If alveolar infiltrates on the

radiograph were included, the likelihood ratio was 1.89, specificity 82% and

sensitivity 34%. None of these combinations of parameters was sensitive or

specific enough to differentiate bacterial, specifically pneumococcal, from viral

pneumonia.

Michelow (92) [II] investigated a panel of 15 cytokines in 55 patients who had

CAP. 43 children had an aetiological diagnosis. 21 children had S.

pneumoniae, 17 had M. pneumoniae, 11 had Influenza A, 3 had C.

pneumoniae, and 1 had Staph. aureus and 8 had viruses identified. 11 had

mixed viral and bacterial infections. Of the cytokines, IL-6 was the only one

significantly associated with a rise in white cell band forms, procalcitonin levels

and unequivocal consolidation on the radiograph. However, there was no

correlation with aetiology. There remains little evidence that cytokine profiles

have any clinical utility.

Don (90) [II] evaluated the usefulness of PCT for assessing both the severity

and aetiology of CAP in a study of 100 patients. The cases were assigned

into 4 aetiological groups: pneumococcal (n=18), atypical bacterial (n=25),

viral (n=23) and unknown (n=34). There was no significant association

between procalcitonin levels and aetiological group. PCT levels were found to

be significantly associated with severity of CAP, as defined by admission to

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

hospital and the presence of alveolar infiltrates on chest radiograph. Median

PCT values (25<sup>th</sup> – 75<sup>th</sup> centiles) for inpatients and outpatients, respectively.

were 17.81 and 0.72.

Korppi (89) [II] published a prospective, population-based study of 190

children in an ambulatory primary care setting with radiologically diagnosed

pneumonia and aetiological diagnoses for 5 bacteria and 7 viruses. They

discovered that there was no association between severity of CAP (as defined

by inpatient vs outpatient management) and no association between aetiology

of CAP and PCT. The median values for each of the 4 aetiological groups

(pneumococcal, mycoplasma/chlamydia, viral and unknown) were not

significantly different (p value = 0.083). For inpatient versus outpatient

management, PCT was 0.42 and 0.45mcg/l, respectively, p = 0.77.

According to these two studies, there may be some alignment between PCT

levels and severity, as defined by admission to hospital, but the evidence is

still lacking for the ability of PCT to discriminate between viral and bacterial

causes of CAP.

Toikka (85) [II] studied 126 children with CAP, measuring PCT, CRP and IL-6

levels. Aetiology was established for 6 bacteria and 11 viruses. 54% had

bacterial infection, 32% viral and 14% unknown. Median procalcitonin and

CRP values were found to be significantly different, but there was marked

overlapping of values. There were no significant differences for IL-6 levels.

The sensitivity and specificity of CRP and PCT levels were low. If PCT, CRP

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

and IL-6 levels are very high, then bacterial pneumonia is more likely, but

generally, they have little value in differentiating viral from bacterial CAP.

Flood (93) [la] produced a meta-analysis of 8 studies, including several

revealed in our recent search (94) [II] (95) [II] (86) [II], that examined the use of

CRP in establishing aetiology in CAP. The pooled study population was 1230.

41% had bacterial CAP. A CRP range of 35-60mg/l was significantly

associated with bacterial pneumonia, producing an Odds Ratio for bacterial vs

non-bacterial CAP of 2.58 (95% CI, 1.2 - 5.55). Given the prevalence of

bacterial pneumonia of 41%, the positive predictive value for CRP values of

40-60mg/l was 64%. The conclusion of the meta-analysis was that CRP was

only weakly predictive for bacterial pneumonia.

CRP on its own it is not reliably predictive of bacterial pneumonia.

Recommendations

Acute phase reactants are not of clinical utility in distinguishing viral from

bacterial infections and should not routinely be tested. [A-]

• CRP is not useful in the management of uncomplicated pneumonia. [A+]

5.4 What microbiological investigations should be performed?

Determining the causative agent in acute lower respiratory tract infection can

be frustrating and difficult. The gold standard would be a sample directly from

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

the infected region of lung (lung puncture). In the developed world, less

invasive sampling methods are usually used to achieve a diagnosis.

5.4.1 Are there any microbiological investigations that should be performed in

the community?

There is no indication for microbiological investigations to be done in the

community. Some workers have investigated the feasibility of performing PCR

analysis for viruses in nasopharyngeal secretions in the context of pandemic

respiratory virus infections (96) [II], but this is not currently practical in the

United Kingdom.

5.4.2 Which microbiological investigations should be performed on a child

admitted to hospital?

It is important to attempt microbiological diagnosis in patients admitted to

hospital with pneumonia severe enough to require paediatric intensive care

admission or with complications of CAP. They should not be considered

routinely in those with milder disease.

Microbiological methods that may be used are several and include: blood

culture, nasopharyngeal secretions and nasal swabs for viral detection (by

PCR or immunofluorescence), acute and convalescent serology for respiratory

viruses, Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae and, if present,

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

pleural fluid for microscopy, culture, pneumococcal antigen detection and/or

PCR.

Cevey-Macherel and co-workers (26) [lb] identified a causative agent in 86%

of 99 patients using a variety of microbiological, serological and biochemical

means. 19% were of bacterial aetiology alone, 33% of viral aetiology alone,

and 33% of mixed viral and bacterial aetiology.

5.4.3 Which investigations are helpful in identifying a bacterial cause?

Blood culture

Positivity is often quoted as <10% in CAP (26) [lb]. Pneumococcal pneumonia

is seldom a bacteraemic illness. S.pneumoniae is cultured in the blood in <5%

of pneumococcal CAP cases (97) [Review].

Nasopharyngeal bacterial culture

This is uninformative. Presence of bacteria in the nasopharynx is not

indicative of lower respiratory tract infection. Normal bacterial flora as well as

bacteria known to cause CAP are often identified (26) [lb].

Pleural fluid

Pleural fluid cultures often show no growth, with just 9% of 47 cultures

positive in a UK study (41) [lb]. Most children will have received antibiotics for

some time before aspiration of pleural fluid, which may explain why culture is

so often uninformative. In this study, 32/47 were positive for pneumococcal

DNA by PCR, whereas pneumococcal latex agglutination antigen testing was

positive in 12/47, all of which were accounted for by PCR. Other studies have

confirmed some utility for pneumococcal antigen detection in pleural fluid,

identifying 27/29 empyemas in one study (98) [II] and with an apparently

useful sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 95%, when compared with culture

and/or PCR, in another study (99) [lb].

Biochemical and Immunological Methods

Serum

A review of pneumococcal serology in childhood respiratory infections (97)

[Review] concluded that pneumococcal antibody and immune complex

assays, whilst sensitive and specific enough for the detection of pneumococcal

infections in children, were too complex for routine clinical use. Several other

serological techniques exist and have been used in combinations with other

culture and non-culture techniques to increase diagnostic yield. Paired

serology seems to have the best yield (31) [II] (26) [Ib].

Urine

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

Rapid detection of the capsular polysaccharide (CPS) antigen of S.

pneumoniae has shown promise for excluding pneumococcal infection. A

study undertaken in France (100) [lb] identified both a sensitivity and negative

predictive value of 100% for an immunochromatographic test for CPS.

However, specificity was too low to be clinically useful.

Rajalakshmi et al (101) [lb] studied the efficacy of antigen detection assays of

pneumolysin versus capsular polysaccharide antigen in urine. The rationale

behind this study is that there is cross reactivity between antigens of Viridans

streptococci and capsular polysaccharide antigen (CPS), whereas

pneumolysin is a protein produced only by S. pneumoniae. The cases in this

study were diagnosed by clinical and radiological evidence, with blood culture

positivity in 29.5%. The sensitivities of CPS and pneumolysin in urine when

compared with blood culture were identical (52.3%) whereas specificity for

pneumolysin was 61.2% and for CPS, 67.3%. In 37.1 - 42.9% of cases,

pneumolysin was detected in urine compared with 2.1% in controls. CPS was

detected in 38.6% of cases and not detected in any controls. The negative

predictive value of pneumolysin was 77.2% and CPS was 76.7%.

Polymerase Chain Reaction

Pneumolysin-based PCR is increasingly used to detect pneumococcus in

blood, pleural fluid and secretions. Some studies have found good sensitivity

(100%) and specificity (95%) in children with pneumonia (21) [lb] (102) [ll], but

others have been concerned about its specificity, especially in young children

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

(103) [II]. The laboratory techniques in this area are rapidly evolving and

improving and show promise in helping to make microbiological diagnoses.

5.4.4 Which investigations are helpful for identifying atypical bacteria?

Paired serology (rising titres in antibody complement fixation tests) remains

the mainstay for diagnosing *M. pneumoniae* and *C. pneumoniae* infections.

However, there are at least 2 studies that have investigated the use of PCR in

identifying atypical bacterial infections.

Michelow (102) [II] used PCR to diagnose M. pneumoniae from naso- and

oropharyngeal swabs. They compared 21 children with serologically proven

M. pneumoniae infections with 42 controls. 12/21 (57%) were PCR positive,

9/12 each positive on naso- and oropharyngeal samples, 6 on both. The

greatest diagnostic yield was therefore when samples from both sites were

combined and analyzed. 1 of the controls was PCR positive. The odds ratio

for detecting M. pneumoniae by PCR in serologically proven cases was 54.7

(range 5.9 – 1279.3). When compared with ELISA, PCR had a sensitivity of

57.1%, specificity of 97.6%, PPV of 97.3% and NPV of 82.0%. The authors

argue that PCR positivity for M. pneumoniae in the upper respiratory tract is

suggestive of LRTI. Of interest, in their study, PCR positive cases had a

significantly longer duration of oxygen therapy (1.7 vs 0.78 days, p=0.045).

Maltezou et al (104) [II] used PCR to diagnose Legionella and mycoplasma

LRTI's by collecting serum and sputum or throat swabs. Of 65 children,

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

serology (IgM EIA) was positive in 18 (27.5%) for M. pneumoniae and 1

(1.5%) for Legionella. 11/18 were diagnosed in the acute phase. 9/18 (50%)

serologically diagnosed were positive for *M. pneumoniae* by PCR of sputum.

Taken together, 15/18 were diagnosed by PCR and IgM serology. 3/18 were

diagnosed by convalescent serology. The sensitivity of PCR vs IgM EIA in this

study was 50%. This is consistent with recent observations that PCR can

detect persistent MP infection up to 7 months after disease onset (105) [II].

5.4.5 Which investigations are useful in identifying viral pneumonia?

Viruses are significant causes of paediatric CAP, either on their own or in

mixed infections. Several studies have looked at the various techniques

available for identifying viruses. These include viral culture, antigen detection,

serology and PCR.

In the previously mentioned study undertaken by Cevey-Macherel and

colleagues (26) [lb], they found viral PCR of nasopharyngeal aspirates to be

very sensitive. In their study, 66/99 children had evidence of acute viral

infection (33/99 as co-infection with bacteria). In those with a negative PCR,

viral infection could not be detected by any other method. As well as viral

culture and PCR, they used viral antigen detection and serum complement

fixation tests.

Shetty et al (106) [lb] subjected 1069 nasopharyngeal swabs to viral culture

and direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) staining. 190/1069 were DFA and viral

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

culture positive (true positive) and 837/1069 were DFA and culture negative

(true negative). The sensitivity for DFA in this study was 84%, specificity 99%,

PPV 96% and NPV 96%. 120/140 hospitalised patients (86%) had viral

cultures that reported positive only after the children had been discharged.

The authors make the point that the viral cultures were not of any utility in

making clinical management decisions.

Lambert (96) [II] collected nose-throat swabs (NTS's) and nasopharyngeal

aspirates (NPA's) in 295 patients (303 illnesses) and subjected them to PCR

analysis for 8 common respiratory viruses. NTS's are thought to be 'less

invasive' samples, more easily collected by parents and hence, of possible

benefit in rapid diagnosis in the context of a respiratory virus pandemic. In

186/303 (61%) of paired NTS/NPA samples, at least 1 virus was detected.

For NTS, sensitivity for RSV was 91.9% and for Influenza A, 93.1%. For

adenovirus, NTS sensitivity was 65.9% (95% CI, 50.1 - 79.5%) whilst NPA

sensitivity was 93.2% (81.3% - 98.6%). Concordance between NPA and NTS

samples was 89.1%. The authors argue that the combination of PCR and the

less-invasive NTS sample provides adequate sensitivity for the detection of

respiratory viruses.

**Evidence Statements** 

Blood culture positivity is uncommon. [A-]

 Urinary antigen detection may be helpful as negative predictors of pneumococcal infection in older children. Positive tests are too nonspecific and may represent carriage. [A-]

 Molecular methods have shown promise, but are currently most useful in identifying viral pathogens. [A-]

#### Recommendations

- Microbiological diagnosis should be attempted in children with severe pneumonia, sufficient to require paediatric intensive care admission, or those with complications of CAP. [C]
- Microbiological investigations should not be considered routinely in those with milder disease or those treated in the community. [C]
- Microbiological methods used should include:
  - Blood culture. [C]
  - Nasopharyngeal secretions and/or nasal swabs for viral detection by
     PCR and/or immunofluorescence. [C]
  - Acute and convalescent serology for respiratory viruses,
     Mycoplasma and Chlamydia. [B+]
  - If present, pleural fluid should be sent for microscopy, culture,
     pneumococcal antigen detection and/or PCR. [C]
- Urinary antigen detection should not be done in young children. [C]

# **6. Severity Assessment**

## 6.1 Why is severity assessment important?

Children with CAP may present with a range of symptoms and signs: fever, tachypnoea, breathlessness, difficulty in breathing, cough, wheeze, headache, abdominal pain and chest pain (see chapter 4, 'Clinical Features'). The spectrum of severity of CAP can be mild to severe (see table 6.1). Infants and children with mild to moderate respiratory symptoms can be managed safely in the community. [D]

Table 6.1 Severity Assessment

	Mild to Moderate	Severe
Infants	Temperature <38.5°C	Temperature >38.5°C
	RR <50 breaths/min	RR >70 breaths/min
	Mild recession	Moderate to severe recession
	Taking full feeds	Nasal flaring
		Cyanosis
		Intermittent apnoea
		Grunting respiration
		Not feeding
		Tachycardia*
		Capillary refill time ≥ 2 sec
Older Children	Temperature <38.5°C	Temperature >38.5°C

RR <50 breaths/min	RR >50 breaths/min
Mild breathlessness	Severe difficulty in breathing
No vomiting	Nasal flaring
	Cyanosis
	Grunting respiration
	Signs of dehydration
	Tachycardia*
	Capillary refill time ≥ 2 secs

The most important decision in the management of CAP is whether to treat the child in the community or refer and admit for hospital based care. This decision is best informed by an accurate assessment of severity of illness at presentation and an assessment of likely prognosis. In previously well children there is a low risk of complications and treatment in the community is preferable. This has the potential to reduce inappropriate hospital admissions and the associated morbidity and costs.

Management in these environments is dependent on an assessment of severity. Severity assessment will influence microbiological investigations, initial antimicrobial therapy, route of administration, duration of treatment and level of nursing and medical care.

6.2 What are the indications for referral and admission to hospital?

A referral to hospital will usually take place when a general practitioner

assesses a child and feels the clinical severity requires admission. In addition

to assessing severity the decision as to whether to refer to hospital or not

should take account of any underlying risk factors the child may have together

with the ability of the parents/carers to manage the illness in the community.

This decision may be influenced by the level of parental anxiety.

Children with CAP may also access hospital services when the parents/carers

bring the child directly to a hospital emergency department.

circumstances hospital doctors may come across children with mild disease

that can be managed in the community. Some with severe disease will require

hospital admission for treatment. One key indication for admission to hospital

is hypoxaemia. In a study carried out in the developing world, children with

low oxygen saturations were shown to be at greater risk of death than

adequately oxygenated children (67) [II]. The same study showed that a

respiratory rate of 70 breaths per minute or more in infants aged <1 year was

a significant predictor of hypoxaemia.

There is no single validated severity scoring system to guide the decision on

when to refer for hospital care. An emergency care based study assessed

vital signs as a tool for identifying children at risk from a severe infection.

Features including a temperature > 39°C, saturations < 94%, tachycardia and

capillary refill time > 2 seconds were more likely to occur in severe infections

(107) [II]. Auscultation revealing absent breath sounds with a dull percussion

note should raise the possibility of a pneumonia complication by effusion and

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

should trigger a referral to hospital (108) [III] (109) [III]. There is some

evidence that an additional useful assessment is the quality of a child's cry

and response to their parent's stimulation (110) [II]; if these are felt to be

abnormal and present with other worrying features they may also strengthen

the case for referral for admission to hospital.

A global assessment of clinical severity and risk factors is crucial in identifying

the child likely to require hospital admission.

Features of severe disease in an infant include:

SaO2 <92%, cyanosis;

respiratory rate >70 breaths/min

significant tachycardia for level of fever (age dependent)\*

prolonged central capillary refill time >2 seconds

difficulty in breathing

intermittent apnoea, grunting

not feeding

chronic conditions (e.g. congenital heart disease, chronic lung disease

of prematurity, chronic respiratory conditions leading to infection – CF,

bronchiectasis, immune deficiency)

Features of severe disease in an older child include:

SaO2 <92%, cyanosis

respiratory rate >50 breaths/min

significant tachycardia for level of fever (age dependent)\*

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

prolonged central capillary refill time >2 seconds

difficulty in breathing

grunting

signs of dehydration

chronic conditions (e.g. congenital heart disease, chronic lung disease

of prematurity, chronic respiratory conditions leading to infection – CF,

bronchiectasis, immune deficiency)

(\*Tachycardia: values to define tachycardia vary with age and with

temperature (111) [II].)

6.3 What are the indications for transfer to intensive care?

There are two main scenarios when a child is likely to need admission to an

intensive care unit. First when the pneumonia is so severe the child is

developing severe respiratory failure requiring assisted ventilation. Second a

pneumonia complicated by septicaemia. Key features that suggest a child

requires transfer include:

failure to maintain an SaO2 of >92% in FiO2 of >0.6 [D]

shock [D]

rising respiratory and pulse rate with clinical evidence of severe

respiratory distress and exhaustion, with or without a raised arterial

carbon dioxide tension (PaCO2) [D]

recurrent apnoea or slow irregular breathing [D]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

6.4 When should the child be reassessed?

For children with CAP, reassessment is important, whether in the community

or in hospital.

In the community after a treatment for CAP has been initiated (e.g. oral

antibiotics plus advice on antipyretics and hydration) parents/carers should be

advised on what symptoms and signs to look for when reassessing their child.

Looking for the features in the following three areas may be useful in

identifying cases where the infection is not being adequately treated and

reassessment by a doctor is required:

• Fever – a high swinging or persistent fever (the temperature should start to

settle 48 hours after treatment starts). [D]

Effort of breathing – the child seems to be working harder to breath with a

fast breathing rate and chest recession. [D]

Effect of breathing – the child is not comfortable and relaxed but is agitated

and distressed. [D]

In hospital all the above should be assessed in addition to vital signs outlined

in Table 4. Medical assessment should always look for signs of overwhelming

infection and septicaemia, for pleural collections that may develop into

empyema thoracis, (109) [III] and for signs of dehydration. A prolonged fever

is a useful pointer to empyema developing (112) [III] and this may require

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

drainage for successful treatment (113). Less common complications should

be also considered (see Chapter 9).

**Evidence Statements** 

Children with CAP present with a range of symptoms and signs. A global

assessment of clinical severity and risk factors is crucial in identifying the

child likely to require hospital admission. [D]

Recommendations

• For a child in the community, re-consultation to the general practitioner with

persistent fever, or parental concern about fever, should prompt

consideration of CAP. [D]

For children with CAP, reassessment is important, whether in the

community or in hospital. [D]

Hypoxia (SaO2 <92%) in all children is an indication for hospital

assessment and management. [B+]

Auscultation revealing absent breath sounds with a dull percussion note

should raise the possibility of a pneumonia complication by effusion and

should trigger a referral to hospital. [B-]

A child in hospital should be reassessed medically if there is persistence of

fever 48 hours after initiation of treatment, increased work of breathing or if

the child is becoming distressed or agitated. [D]

## 7. General management in the community and in hospital

7.1 What general management strategy should be provided for a child treated in the community?

The general management of a child who does not require hospital referral comprises advising parents and carers about:

- management of fever
  - use of antipyretics
  - avoidance of sponging
- preventing dehydration
- identifying signs of deterioration
- identifying signs of other serious illness
- how to access further healthcare (providing a 'Safety Net').

The safety net should be one or more of the following:

- Provide the parent or carer with verbal and/or written information on warning symptoms and how further healthcare can be accessed.
- Arrange a follow-up appointment at a certain time and place.
- Liaise with other healthcare professionals, including out-of-hours providers, to ensure the parent/carer has direct access to a further assessment for their child.

#### Recommendations

 Families of children who are well enough to be cared for at home should be given information on managing pyrexia, preventing dehydration, and identifying any deterioration. [D]

### 7.1.2 Over the counter remedies

No over the counter cough medicines have been found to be effective in pneumonia (114) [la].

7.2 What is the general management for children cared for in hospital?

# 7.2.1 Oxygen therapy

Hypoxic infants and children may not appear cyanosed. Agitation may be an indicator of hypoxia.

Patients whose oxygen saturation is less than 92% while breathing air should be treated with oxygen given by nasal cannulae, head box or face mask to maintain oxygen saturation above 92% (67) [II].

There is no strong evidence to indicate that any one of these methods of oxygen delivery is more effective than any other. A study comparing the different methods in children under 5 years of age concluded that the head

box and nasal cannulae are equally effective (115) [II], but the numbers

studied were small and definitive recommendations cannot be drawn from this

study. It is easier to feed with nasal cannulae. Alternative methods of

delivering high flow, humidified nasal oxygen are available and increasingly

used. Higher concentrations of humidified oxygen can also be delivered via

face mask or head box if necessary.

Where the child's nose is blocked with secretions, gentle suctioning of the

nostrils may help. No studies assessing the effectiveness of nasopharyngeal

suction were identified.

No new published studies about oxygen therapy were identified in the update

searches.

**Evidence Statement** 

Agitation may be an indicator that a child is hypoxic. [D]

Recommendations

Patients whose oxygen saturation is 92% or less while breathing air

should be treated with oxygen given by nasal cannulae, high flow

delivery device, head box or face mask to maintain oxygen saturation

above 92%. [B]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

7.2.2 Fluid therapy

Children who are unable to maintain their fluid intake due to breathlessness or

fatigue need fluid therapy. Studies on pre-term infants or infants weighing

<2000g have shown that the presence of a nasogastric tube compromises

respiratory status (116) [II] (117) [IVb]. Older children may be similarly

affected, although potentially to a lesser extent because of their larger nasal

passages, so although tube feeds offer nutritional benefits over intra-venous

fluids, they should be avoided in severely ill children. Where nasogastric tube

feeds are used, the smallest tube should be passed down the smaller nostril

(117) [IVb]. There is no evidence that nasogastric feeds given continuously

are any better tolerated than bolus feeds (no studies were identified); however,

in theory, smaller more frequent feeds are less likely to cause stress to the

respiratory system.

Patients who are vomiting or who are severely ill may require intravenous

fluids and electrolyte monitoring. Attention is drawn to the 2007 National

Patient Safety Agency alert 'Reducing the risk of hyponatraemia when

administering intravenous fluids to children' (118). Serum sodium can be low

in children with pneumonia and there is debate as to whether this is related to

inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion or overall sodium depletion.

Good quality evidence is lacking.

Recommendations

Nasogastric tubes may compromise breathing and should therefore be

avoided in severely ill children and especially in infants with small nasal

passages. If use cannot be avoided, the smallest tube should be passed

down the smallest nostril. [D]

Plasma sodium, potassium, urea and/or creatinine should be measured at

baseline and at least daily when on intravenous fluids. [C]

7.2.3 Physiotherapy

Two randomised controlled trials (119) [lb], (120) [ll] and an observational

study (121) [lb] conducted on adults and children showed that physiotherapy

did not have any effect on the length of hospital stay, pyrexia, or chest

radiograph findings in patients with pneumonia. There is no evidence to

support the use of physiotherapy, including postural drainage, percussion of

the chest, or deep breathing exercises (120) [II] (119) [Ib] (122) [IVb]. There is

a suggestion that physiotherapy is counterproductive, with patients who

receive physiotherapy being at risk of having a longer duration of fever than

the control group (119) [lb]. In addition, there is no evidence to show that

physiotherapy is beneficial in the resolving stage of pneumonia.

A supported sitting position may help to expand lungs and improve respiratory

symptoms in children with respiratory distress.

There were no new studies identified.

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

A summary article (121) [lb] summarised the studies discussed above.

# Recommendation

• Chest physiotherapy is not beneficial and should not be performed in children with pneumonia. [A-]



8. Antibiotic management

8.1 Introduction

The management of a child with CAP involves a number of decisions

regarding treatment with antibiotics:

whether to treat with antibiotics;

which antibiotic and by which route;

when to change to oral treatment if intravenous treatment initiated;

duration of treatment.

The British Thoracic Society guidelines of 2002 (51) found scanty evidence

with which to address these questions. Trials comparing various different

antibiotic combinations found little differences in efficacy, one trial indicating

equivalence of intramuscular penicillin and oral amoxicillin in children with

pneumonia treated in the Emergency Department (123) [lb], and no evidence

to inform parenteral to oral switch or duration of antibiotics. Since then a

number of large studies from many different countries, have attempted to

address some of these issues. There are, however, some difficulties in

assessing their relevance to the UK as children have been enrolled from

developing and developed countries, with different criteria used as definitions

for pneumonia and with different immunization backgrounds, circulating

bacteria and resistance patterns.

One of the major problems in deciding whether to treat a child with CAP with

antibiotics is the difficulty in distinguishing bacterial pneumonia (which would

benefit from antibiotics) from non-bacterial pneumonia (which would not). This

difficulty has been described in Section 3 on Aetiology. Resistance to

antibiotics among bacterial pathogens is increasing and is of concern; an

important factor in this increase is the overuse of antibiotics.

Two studies were identified in which children with diagnosed respiratory

infections treated with antibiotics were compared with a group not treated with

antibiotics (124) [II] (125) [II] (126) [II]. However, both enrolled many children

who, in the UK, would have bronchiolitis not pneumonia. One was a

randomised controlled trial of 136 young Danish children aged 1 month to 6

years, either with pneumonia or bronchiolitis, with 84% RSV positive. Severe

disease was excluded. There were no differences in the course of the illness

between the two groups (ampicillin or penicillin treated or placebo) though 15

of the 64 in the placebo group did eventually receive antibiotics (124) [II]. The

other in India enrolled children aged 2-59 months with cough, rapid breathing

or difficulty breathing, audible or auscultatory wheeze, non-response to

bronchodilator without chest radiograph changes. There was a non-significant

difference in failure rate of 24% with placebo and 19.9% with amoxicillin for 3

days (126) [II].

Unfortunately as most children in these studies appeared to have bronchiolitis,

not pneumonia, it is impossible to draw conclusions from them regarding

whether young children with pneumonia benefit from antibiotics.

The other way of approaching this is relating knowledge of aetiology in specific

ages to the likelihood that these will be effective. Both viruses and bacteria

are found in young children, with vaccine probe studies suggesting a third of

children less than 2 years old with radiological signs have pneumococcal

pneumonia (44) [lb] (45) [la]. However in those with a clinical pneumonia

diagnosis this drops to 6%. (45) [Ia]. With the introduction into the UK primary

immunization schedule in 2006 of PCV7 and latterly PCV13 in April 2010, the

likelihood of bacterial pneumonia in a fully vaccinated young child is therefore

very small.

Recommendation

• Children less than 2 years old, presenting with mild symptoms of

lower respiratory tract infection need not be treated with antibiotics

but should be reviewed if symptoms persist. A history of conjugate

pneumococcal vaccination gives greater confidence to this decision.

[C]

As bacterial pneumonia cannot be clinically distinguished from viral,

all other children with a clinical diagnosis of pneumonia should

receive antibiotics. [C]

8.3 How much of a problem is antibiotic resistance?

 ${\tt BTS} \ Guidelines \ for \ the \ Management \ of \ Community \ Acquired \ Pneumonia \ in \ Children: \ Update \ 2011$ 

Antibiotic resistance has the potential to impact on therapeutic choices and

there is worldwide concern about increasing antibiotic resistance among

pneumococci and its potential impact on therapy of pneumonia and invasive

pneumococcal disease.

8.3.1 *S. pneumoniae* resistance

Despite the rapid reduction in PCV7 serotypes following introduction of

conjugate vaccine in 2000, penicillin resistance increased steadily in

Cleveland, USA, until 2003-4. At this time 51% of isolates were penicillin non-

susceptible (127) [lb].

Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (PCVs) have reduced drug-resistant

Streptococcus pneumoniae (DRSP), but, because of increased intermediate

resistance among non-PCV7 serotypes, reductions in intermediately penicillin-

resistant strains have not followed. Serotype 19A, which is both antibiotic

resistant and a common cause of disease, is not covered by PCV7, and is now

increasing worldwide, including in countries without PCV7 (128) [la] (129) [la]

(130) [la]. However, it is included within PCV 13, the introduction of which

would potentially prevent a further 50% of continuing invasive pneumococcal

disease in children.

S. pneumoniae macrolide resistance is also increasing, and different

mechanisms of resistance drive different levels of resistance. High level

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

resistance also involves clindamycin resistance, whereas low level only

involves macrolides. Resistance mechanisms vary geographically with mostly

low-level resistance in the USA, but high level in Europe (131) [Ia]. US

surveillance data between 2000-4 of respiratory isolates indicate a stable 30%

are macrolide resistant, though an increasing proportion has high level

macrolide resistance (132) [lb].

A study from Portugal significantly associated macrolide use with the increase

of penicillin and erythromycin non-susceptible isolates from adults (p <0.01)

and erythromycin non-susceptible isolates among children (p = 0.006) (133)

[lb].

In the UK, however, penicillin resistance is far less prevalent. Pneumococcal

penicillin non-susceptibility in pneumococci causing bacteraemia rose in the

1990s to 6.7% in 2000, and has since declined to around 4% in 2007.

Geographical variation ranges from 1.5% in the East Midlands to 8.0% in

London. This is in contrast to much of mainland Europe where rates are

between 25-50% France and Spain (134) [lb]. Erythromycin resistance is

higher at 9.3% in 2007, but has decreased since 2004, and also varies across

the country between 5.2% in the North East of England to 14.7% in London. It

is much higher in mainland Europe with 25-50% macrolide resistance in

France and Italy (134) [lb]. In 2006-7 erythromycin resistance was found in

12% of invasive isolates from children, with serotype 19A still very uncommon

(135) [lb].

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

8.3.2 Group A Streptococcus.

There is also varying prevalence of macrolide resistance in *Streptococcus* 

pyogenes (GAS) worldwide, in some areas up to 40% (136) [lb], and beta-

lactamase production in Haemophilus influenzae is widespread. In the UK,

overall, the reported resistance rates for GAS to clindamycin, erythromycin

and tetracycline were 5.1%, 5.6% and 14.0% respectively in 2007, with 4.4%

resistant to all three. Penicillin resistance has not been seen to date and

penicillin remains the therapeutic drug of choice (134) [lb].

8.3.3 S. aureus

MRSA is of increasing concern within the USA and has been implicated in the

increase in pleural empyemas seen in the USA (137) [III]. Although MRSA

contributes to 31% of *S. aureus* bacteraemia within the UK (134) [lb], it has not

yet been a significant factor in either empyema or pneumonia in the UK (31)

[II] (138) [II] (41) [II].

8.3.4 What is the clinical impact of antibiotic resistance?

Management of pneumococcal infections has been challenged by the

development of resistance and, more recently, the unexpected spread of

resistant clones of serotypes, such as 19A, following the introduction of a

conjugate pneumococcal vaccine for use in children in 2000.

Despite the increasingly wide literature on antibiotic resistance, the impact this

has on clinical outcomes for children has less evidence. However series of

children with pneumonia from USA (139) [III] and South Africa (140) [II] found

no difference in outcome between penicillin resistant or sensitive pneumococal

pneumonias. Nor were differences noted in children with pleural empyema

and sensitive or resistant pneumococcal disease in terms of duration of fever

and tachypnea, need of surgical treatment, bacteremia incidence, mean

duration of therapy, or length of hospital stay (141) [III].

Outcomes in pneumococcal meningitis have not been shown to differ

significantly between susceptible and resistant isolates (142) [III].

In the face of no widespread failure of antibiotic therapy, high-dose penicillin

G, other beta lactams and many other agents continue to be efficacious

parenterally for pneumonia and bacteraemia (130) [III].

Increased macrolide use is associated with pneumococcal and GAS

resistance (133) [lb] and bacteria may acquire macrolide resistance very fast if

used indiscriminately (143) [lb]. However, the clinical impact of macrolide

resistance is unclear with case reports describing clinical failure in adults with

bacteraemic infection (144) [III] but not with pneumonia (145) [II] (146) [II]. No

association with resistance and treatment failure has been demonstrated as

yet in children.

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

It is clear that there is variation in medical prescribing that largely reflects custom, local practice and availability. We have reviewed the relevant scientific evidence and provide recommendations based, where possible, on that evidence, but more frequently recommendations are based on judgements about what constitutes safe and effective treatment. In pneumonia in children, the nature of the infecting organism is almost never known at the initiation of treatment and the choice of antibiotic is therefore determined by the reported prevalence of different pathogens at different ages, knowledge of resistance patterns of expected pathogens circulating within the community and the immunization status of the child.

Randomised controlled trials comparing different antibiotics have shown similar or equivalent efficacy variously for: macrolides, amoxicillin, co-amoxiclav, cefaclor, erythromycin, cefixime, cefpodoxime, cefuroxime. Ceftriaxone (147) [II] (148) [II] (149) [II] (63) [II] (19) [II] (150) [II] (151) [II] (152) [II]. Additionally, newer antibiotics such as levofloxacin (153) [II] in similar studies from the USA show efficacy. Despite pharmacological differences in oral cephalosporins, (cefaclor has an association with skin reactions but, compared to cefalexin, good activity against *S pyogenes* and *S pneumoniae*; cefixime is poorly active against *S aureus* and cefuroxime axetil has poor oral absorption), no differences in clinical efficacy have been identified. There also appears to be little difference between different macrolides (154) [II] (52) [II]

(155) [II], although clarithromycin may be better tolerated than erythromycin

(156) [II].

A Cochrane review of antibiotics in childhood pneumonia in 2006 (157) [la]

was updated in 2010 (158) [Ia]. 27 studies were reviewed, encompassing

11,928 children, comparing multiple antibiotics. However, most of these were

enrolled on the basis of WHO defined clinical criteria for pneumonia and were

from developing countries. It is recognized that 82% of children identified

clinically fulfilling the WHO criteria for pneumonia have normal chest

radiographs (159) [lb]. Five studies were from high income developed

countries and less than a quarter enrolled using chest radiograph definitions.

Findings included equivalence for amoxicillin and macrolides (azithromycin

and clarithromycin), procaine penicillin and cefuroxime. On the basis of single

studies co-amoxiclav was comparable to azithromycin and cefpodoxime but

superior to amoxicillin.

High dose amoxicillin twice daily is a pharmacokinetically satisfactory dosing

regime and may aid compliance (160) [lb], though in Pakistan, outcomes for

infants aged 2-59 months with non-severe outpatient treated clinical

pneumonia were the same with standard and double dose amoxicillin (161)

[lb].

In adults macrolide antibiotics have been shown to reduce the length and

severity of pneumonia caused by Mycoplasma pneumoniae compared with

penicillin or no antibiotic treatment (162) [abstract only]. In an experimental

mouse model of respiratory M. pneumoniae infection, clarithromycin

significantly decreased M. pneumoniae levels and cytokines compared with

placebo (163) [II]. There is little evidence for specific antibiotics in children.

Improved short and long term outcomes have been described in children with

respiratory tract infections (a mixture of upper and lower by clinical diagnosis),

treated with macrolides compared to those not treated (66) [II]. Of those

children with LRTI due to M. pneumoniae and/or C. pneumoniae assessed as

"clinical failures", 83% had not been treated with macrolides (56) [II]. Children

with M. pneumoniae pneumonia in Taiwan had significantly shorter fever

durations if receiving macrolides (164) [II]. However, Cochrane review of

specific mycoplasma treatment in children with lower respiratory tract

infections did not find enough evidence to indicate whether antibiotics

improved outcomes in children with M. pneumoniae LRTI, though they

suggested that the Esposito study indicated that some children may benefit

(165) [IVa].

A recent report of a closed audit loop showed that prescribing can be

rationalised to simple narrow spectrum antibiotics with the introduction of a

local management protocol. This has the potential to reduce the likelihood of

antibiotic resistance developing (138) [II].

Information on the antibiotics recommended for treatment of CAP is available

in the British National Formulary for Children.

Evidence statement

Although there appears to be no difference in response to conventional

antibiotic treatment in children with penicillin resistant S. pneumoniae, the

data are limited and the majority of children in these studies were not

treated with oral beta-lactam agents alone. [B-]

Recommendations

Amoxicillin is first choice for oral antibiotic therapy in all children because it

is effective against the majority of pathogens which cause CAP in this

group, is well tolerated, and cheap. Alternatives are co-amoxiclav,

cefaclor, erythromycin, azithromycin and clarithromycin. [B]

Macrolide antibiotics may be added at any age if there is no response to

first line empiric therapy. [D]

Macrolide antibiotics should be used if either mycoplasma or chlamydia

pneumonia is suspected (or in very severe disease). [D]

Amoxicillin should be used as first line treatment at any age if S.

pneumoniae is thought to be the likely pathogen. [B]

• If Staph. aureus is thought the likely pathogen, augmentin or a combination

of flucloxacillin with amoxicillin, is appropriate. [D]

• In pneumonia associated with influenza, augmentin is recommended. [D]

8.5 How should antibiotics be given?

One large, adequately powered trial (123) [lb] compared the efficacy of

treatment with intramuscular penicillin (one dose) and oral amoxicillin given for

24-36 hours to children with pneumonia treated in the Emergency

Department. Evaluation at 24-36 hours did not show any differences in

outcome between the groups.

Oral amoxicillin has been shown to be as effective as parenteral penicillin,

even in severe pneumonia, in the UK, Africa/Asia and Pakistan (166) [lb] (167)

[lb] (159) [lb]. The PIVOT trial (167) [lb] randomized UK children over the age

of 6 months admitted to hospital with pneumonia to either oral amoxicillin or

intravenous penicillin. Only the most severe were excluded (oxygen saturation

< 85%, shock, pleural effusion requiring drainage). The antibiotics produced

equivalent outcomes.

A large multicentre, randomised, open-label equivalency study in eight

developing countries in Africa, Asia, and South America enrolled 1702 infants

aged 3-59 months with severe, clinically defined pneumonia, and randomized

them either to oral amoxicillin or parenteral penicillin. Identical outcomes were

obtained in each group, with 19% treatment failure (166) [lb].

In a randomized control trial, a group in Pakistan also studied severe

pneumonia and compared home treatment using twice daily oral high dose

amoxicillin with parenteral ampicillin, with equivalent results in both groups

(159) [lb].

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

Two of these were reviewed in a Cochrane review (168) [la], which concluded

oral therapy was a safe and effective alternative to parenteral treatment, even

in severe disease in hospitalized children.

Parenteral administration of antibiotics in children (which, in the UK, is

generally intravenous) is traumatic as it requires the insertion of a cannula,

drug costs are much greater than with oral regimens, and admission to

hospital is generally required. However, in the severely ill child, parenteral

administration ensures that high concentrations are achieved rapidly in the

lung. The parenteral route should also be used if there are concerns about

oral absorption.

Recommendations

Antibiotics administered orally are safe and effective for children presenting

with even severe CAP. [A+]

• Intravenous antibiotics should be used in the treatment of pneumonia in

children when the child is unable to tolerate oral fluids or absorb oral

antibiotics (for example, because of vomiting) or presents with signs of

sepsis or complicated pneumonia. [D]

Appropriate intravenous antibiotics for severe pneumonia include

amoxicillin, co-amoxiclav, cefuroxime, and cefotaxime/ceftriaxone. These

can be rationalised if a microbiological diagnosis is made. [D]

8.6 When should antibiotics be switched from parenteral to oral?

No randomised controlled trials were identified that addressed the issue of

when it is safe and effective to transfer from intravenous to oral antibiotic

therapy. There can thus be no rigid statement about the timing of transfer to

oral treatment and this is an area for further investigation.

Recommendation

• In a patient who is receiving intravenous antibiotic therapy for the treatment

of CAP, oral treatment should be considered if there is clear evidence of

improvement. [D]

8.7 What is the optimal duration of antibiotic treatment?

Since 2000, there have been a few trials and a Cochrane review comparing

duration of antibiotic treatments (169) [II]. All are from developing countries,

except for a trial from Finland, which randomized children with pneumonia (a

high proportion of which had a bacterial cause) to either 4 or 7 days of

parenteral penicillin or cefuroxime, with no difference in outcome (150) [lb].

Three randomised trials of short course oral antibiotics, only 2 of which are

published (170) [II] (125) [II], were reviewed in the Cochrane review by Haider

(169) [II]. These studies enrolled infants in developing countries with WHO

defined clinical criteria of non severe pneumonia to either 3 or 5 days oral

amoxicillin. No difference was seen in acute cure or relapse rates between

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

the groups. There are some difficulties translating this data as these cohorts

of infants include many who would be defined as having bronchiolitis with

wheeze (13%) and 23% RSV positive in the Agarwal group; 23% wheeze,

18% RSV positive in the Qazi group. Some had simple upper respiratory tract

infections as, although 99% had a cough, only 38% had difficulty breathing

and 80% had < 10 breaths excess respiratory rate. Only 14% had chest

radiograph changes (170) [II]. Most of these children may not have needed

antibiotics at all, and indeed fall into the group that, if vaccinated, it is

suggested do not require antibiotic treatment in the UK. It is therefore still not

known whether a 3 day antibiotic course is sufficient to treat a child with a

bacterial pneumonia.

Recommendations

• In children less than 2 years old, presenting with mild symptoms of lower

respiratory tract infection, who are unvaccinated or felt to require

antibiotics, 3 days amoxicillin can be given. [B]

All other children should have standard 5 day course amoxicillin in the

absence of any short course evidence. [D]

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

9. Complications and failure to improve

9.1 What factors should be considered in children who fail to improve?

If a child remains pyrexial or unwell 48 hours after treatment has commenced,

re-evaluation is necessary. Answers to the following questions should be

sought:

• Is the patient having appropriate drug treatment at an adequate dosage?

• Is there a lung complication of pneumonia such as a collection of pleural

fluid with the development of an empyema or evidence of a lung abscess?

Is the patient not responding because of a complication in the host such as

immunosuppression or coexistent disease such as cystic fibrosis?

There has been concern that the increased incidence of penicillin resistant S

pneumoniae would lead to failure of treatment. However, one study (171) [III]

has shown that there is no difference in the percentage of children in hospital

treated successfully with penicillin or ampicillin when the organism was

penicillin susceptible or penicillin resistant. The authors noted that the serum

concentration of penicillin or ampicillin achieved with standard intravenous

dosages was much greater than the MIC for most penicillin resistant strains.

9.2 What are the common complications of CAP?

9.2.1 Pleural effusions and empyema

Parapneumonic effusions are thought to develop in 1% of community acquired

pneumonias (172) [III] but in those admitted to hospital effusions may be found

in as many as 40% of cases (173) [III]. It has been reported recently that

empyema thoracis may be increasing in incidence (174) [III] (175) [III]. A

persisting pyrexia despite adequate antibiotic treatment should always lead

the clinician to be suspicious of the development of empyema (175) [III]. Fluid

in the pleural space is revealed on the chest radiograph and the amount of

fluid is best estimated by ultrasound examination. A clinician should consider

empyema when a child has a persistent fever beyond 7 days in total (175) [III]

or a fever not settling after 48 hours of antibiotics. Where an effusion is

present and the patient is persistently pyrexial, the pleural space should be

drained, ideally in a specialist centre.

There is debate as to the best method of draining effusions. For more details

on diagnosis and management of empyema refer to the BTS Guidelines on

Pleural Disease in Children (113).

9.2.2 Necrotising pneumonias

Lung abscess, although a rare complication of CAP in children, is believed to

be an increasing and important complication to be aware of (176) [III] (177)

[III]. There is some data suggesting some children are predisposed to this

more severe form of lung infection. The predisposing factors include:

congenital cysts, sequestrations, bronchiectasis, neurological disorders, and

immunodeficiency (178) [III]. There is also emerging data that certain

serotypes of pneumococcal disease are more likely to lead to necrotising

pneumonia and abscess formation than others (176) [III] and that S. aureus

with Panton-Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) toxin can lead to severe lung necrosis

with a high risk of mortality (179) [III]. Suspicion of abscess/necrosis is often

raised on the chest radiograph and diagnosis can be confirmed by CT

scanning (180) [IVb]. Prolonged intravenous antibiotic courses may be

required until the fever settles. Lung abscess with an associated empyema

may be drained at decortication if the abscess is close to the parietal pleura

and is large. Ultrasound or CT guided percutaneous drainage can be used

(181) [III].

9.2.3 Septicaemia and metastatic infection

Children can present with symptoms and signs of pneumonia but also have

features of systemic infection. Children with septicaemia and pneumonia are

likely to require high dependency or intensive care management. Metastatic

infection can rarely occur as a result of the septicaemia associated with

pneumonia. Osteomyelitis or septic arthritis should be considered, particularly

with S. aureus infections.

9.2.4 Haemolytic Uraemic Syndrome

Strep. pneumoniae is a rare cause of haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS). A

recent case series found that out of 43 cases of pneumococcal HUS, 35

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

presented with pneumonia and 23 presented with empyema (182) [II].

Although a rare complication, in cases with pallor, profound anaemia and

anuria, this should be considered.

9.2.5 Long term sequelae

Severe pneumonia, empyema and lung abscess can lead to long term

respiratory symptoms secondary to areas of fibrosis or bronchiectasis.

Children with empyema and lung abscess should be followed up after

discharge until they have recovered completely and their chest radiograph has

returned to near normal. There is also prospective data to suggest children

who have had an episode of CAP are more likely to suffer from prolonged

cough (19% vs 8%), chest wall shape abnormality (9% vs 2%) and also doctor

diagnosed asthma (23% versus 11%) (41) [lb]. In this study those children

with a pre-existing diagnosis of asthma were far more likely to suffer persistent

cough symptoms. The reasons for this are as yet unclear but it is advised to

counsel parents and carers at discharge to consult their doctor if these

symptoms occur.

9.3 Complications of specific infections

S. aureus pneumonia

Pneumatoceles occasionally leading to pneumothorax are more commonly

seen with S. aureus pneumonia. The long-term outlook is good with normal

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

lung function (183) [III] (184) [III]. There has been an increase in methicillin

resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and some severe cases reported

requiring extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) (185) [III]. The

Panton-Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) toxin-producing S. aureus can lead to

severe lung necrosis with a high risk of mortality (179) [III]. In the UK and

other developed countries, S. aureus pneumonia is sufficiently unusual to

warrant investigation of the child's immune system.

Mycoplasma pneumonia

Complications in almost every body system have been reported in association

with *M. pneumoniae*. Rashes are common; the Stevens-Johnson syndrome

occurs rarely; haemolytic anaemia, polyarthritis, pancreatitis, hepatitis,

pericarditis, myocarditis and neurological complications including encephalitis,

aseptic meningitis, transverse myelitis and acute psychosis have all been

reported.

S. pneumoniae

Pneumococcus is the most common bacteria to cause CAP and the major

complication of empyema thoracis. It is increasingly being found to cause

necrotic pneumonia and abscess formation that is believed to be associated

with certain serotypes (176) [III]. Vaccination programmes against

pneumoccocus do not protect against all serotypes and surveillance studies

monitoring for shift in serotype prevalence are ongoing. The rare complication

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

of haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) is described with pneumococcal pneumonia.

### Recommendation

- If a child remains pyrexial or unwell 48 hours after hospital admission with pneumonia, re-evaluation is necessary with consideration given to possible complications. [D]
- Children with severe pneumonia, empyema and lung should be followed up after discharge until they have recovered completely and their chest radiograph has returned to near normal. [D]

#### 10. Prevention and vaccination

General improvements in public health over the last century have contributed greatly to the prevention of CAP. However, there is still more to be done in improving housing, reducing crowding, reducing smoking, and improving the uptake of routine vaccines.

# 10.1 Would smoking cessation help?

A recent US paper estimated the annual excess health care service use and expenditure for respiratory conditions in children linked to exposure to smoking in the home (186) [III]. They linked data from the nationally representative Medical Expenditure Panel survey with the National Health Interview survey that has self-reported data on smoking inside the home. Data was obtained on 2759 children aged 0-4 years and respiratory health assessed in 3 groups: smoking inside the home on 1 or more days a week; smoking outside the home and no smoking, using multivariant analysis. Children exposed to smoking in the home had an increased likelihood of hospital admission (4.3% vs 1.1% at least 1 hospital stay per year) and an increased likelihood of an emergency unit visit for respiratory illness (8.5% vs 3.6%). Data was not specific for pneumonia. Indoor smoking was associated with \$117 additional healthcare expenditure for respiratory conditions per child. Smoking cessation would decrease respiratory illness in children but there is no specific data for pneumonia.

10.2 What is the influence of vaccination?

Vaccination has made a real impact on pneumonia and child survival

worldwide (187) [III].

10.2.1 Haemophilus influenza

The impact of Hib conjugate vaccine on pneumonia in the UK is not known,

but a number of clinical trials and case control studies from the developing

world have established that the introduction of this vaccine reduced

radiologically confirmed pneumonia by 20% (188) [lb] to 30% (189) [ll].

10.2.2 Bordetella pertussis

Whooping cough continues to be seen in the UK and infants < 6 months of

age have the highest morbidity and mortality (190) [III]. In the US from 1997-

2000, 29,134 cases of pertussis were reported of whom 7203 were younger

than 6 months; 5.2% overall and 11.8% of those < 6 months had pneumonia.

There were 62 deaths, 56 (90%) of whom were < 6 months (191) [III].

Improved uptake of primary pertussis vaccination would help to prevent cases,

but another important factor may be an increasing pool of susceptible older

children and adults, which is why some countries have elected to have a

booster vaccination programme in adolescence (190) [III].

10.2.3 Streptococcus pneumoniae

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011

Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

The introduction of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines has been the biggest recent change in pneumonia prevention. They have been hugely successful in decreasing invasive pneumococcal disease in children and there have been several studies of the effectiveness in decreasing respiratory morbidity. In the developed world follow up from the controlled 7 valent vaccine trial of 37,868 children in the US using the WHO standardisation for radiographic definition of pneumonia showed efficacy against first episode of radiograph confirmed pneumonia adjusting for age, gender and year of vaccination of 30.3% (95%) CI = 10.7-45.7%, p = 0.0043) for per protocol vaccination (192) [lb]. Evidence that efficacy is sustained outwith a clinical trial comes from a time series US analysis showing that 4 years after the universal vaccination programme started all cause pneumonia admission rates in children < 2 years had declined by 39% (95% Cl 2-52) (193) [III]. Similarly, 3 population based pneumonia surveillance studies from US health maintenance organisations demonstrated fewer outpatient and emergency visits for pneumonia in children <2 years (a decrease of 19-33 per 1000 children per year) (194) [III]; a decrease of 6 (95% CI 5.4-6.7)per 1000 hospitalisations for all cause pneumonia and 40.8 (95% CI 38.8-42.7) per 1000 fewer ambulatory visits in children <2 years (195) [III] and in the third study, a significant 26% reduction in confirmed outpatient events for pneumonia in children < 1 year old (196) [III]. A single blind observational follow up study of 7 valent vaccine in Italy also confirmed that radiologically confirmed community acquired pneumonia was significantly less in the vaccinated group (RR 0.35; 95% CI 0.22-0.53) (197) [11].

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

Introduction of the 7 valent conjugate vaccine in England and Wales in 2006 has almost abolished invasive disease caused by these pneumococcal serotypes in children < 2 years and has substantially reduced the number in older children. However there has been an increase in reports of invasive disease caused by non vaccine serotypes (198) [IVb]. A national time-trends study, 1997-2008 has recently published results on the impact of the seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccination (PCV7) programme on childhood hospital admissions for bacterial pneumonia in the UK showing a 19% decrease (RR 0.81; 95% CI 0.79-0.83) from 2006 to 2008 (8) [III].

#### 10.2.4 Influenza

The UK influenza vaccine programme for children is continually evolving following the H1N1 pandemic in 2009. There is no data of effectiveness in relation to childhood pneumonia in the UK. In Japan analysis of all age pneumonia mortality data suggested universal childhood vaccination offered population protection with prevention of 1 death for every 420 children vaccinated (199) [III]. In Ontario, Canada the effects of introduction of a universal influenza immunisation programme was compared with targeted immunisation in other provinces (200) [II]. After introduction all age mortality decreased more in Ontario than other provinces as did hospitalisations, emergency department visits and doctors office visits in the paediatric age groups (the <5 years and 5-19 years).

## **Evidence Statements**

- Vaccination has had major impact on pneumonia and child mortality worldwide. [B+]
- Conjugate pneumococcal vaccines decrease radiographically confirmed pneumonia episodes in young children by around 30%. [A-]



# **Appendix 1: Search Strategy**

Sources to be searched:

MEDLINE and MEDLINE In process

**EMBASE** 

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)

Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE)

2000 onwards

All study types

English language only

Human only

Single search strategy used to cover all guideline sections

# Searches for guidelines (in search order)

#### **MEDLINE and MEDLINE In Process**

Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1950 to Present>
Searched via Ovid interface 03/02/09

- 1 exp Pneumonia/ (59061)
- 2 exp Pneumonia, Bacterial/ (12548)
- 3 pneumoni\$.ti,ab. (93895)
- 4 bronchopneumoni\$.ti,ab. (2480)
- 5 pleuropneumoni\$.ti,ab. (1942)
- 6 exp Respiratory Tract Infections/ (233912)
- 7 (lower respiratory adj3 infection\$).ti,ab. (3958)
- 8 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 (289474)
- 9 exp Ambulatory Care/ (38374)
- 10 outpatient\$.ti,ab. (75586)
- 11 ambulatory.ti,ab. (46196)
- 12 Community-Acquired Infections/ (6335)
- 13 (commun\$ adj3 acquir\$).ti,ab. (8442)
- 14 exp Family Practice/ (53901)
- 15 "emergency room".ti,ab. (7730)
- 16 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 (201181)
- 17 8 and 16 (11422)
- 18 exp Pediatrics/ (33505)
- 19 (pediatric\$ or paediatric\$).ti,ab. (142562)
- 20 exp Child/ (1252259)
- 21 exp Infant/ (774375)
- 22 exp Child, Preschool/ (609315)
- 23 exp Adolescent/ (1256580)
- 24 (child\$ or infant\$ or boy\$ or girl\$ or toddler\$ or adolescen\$ or preschool\$ or preschool\$ or teenage\$ or youth\$).ti,ab. (1045471)
- 25 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 (2504020)
- 26 25 and 17 (4000)
- 27 limit 26 to yr="2000 2009" (2155)

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

#### **EMBASE**

Database: EMBASE <1980 to 2009 Week 5>

Searched via Ovid interface 03/02/09

- 1 exp Pneumonia/ (83858)
- 2 exp Bacterial Pneumonia/ (4709)
- 3 pneumoni\$.ti,ab. (73858)
- 4 bronchopneumoni\$.ti,ab. (1507)
- 5 pleuropneumoni\$.ti,ab. (916)
- 6 exp Lower Respiratory Tract Infection/ (60037)
- 7 (lower respiratory adj3 infection\$).ti,ab. (3828)
- 8 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 (152142)
- 9 exp Ambulatory Care/ (12331)
- 10 outpatient\$.ti,ab. (65380)
- 11 ambulatory.ti,ab. (35969)
- 12 (commun\$ adj3 acquir\$).ti,ab. (8151)
- 13 exp General Practice/ (22748)
- 14 "emergency room".ti,ab. (6257)
- 15 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (136787)
- 16 8 and 15 (7939)
- 17 exp Pediatrics/ (28273)
- 18 (pediatric\$ or paediatric\$).ti,ab. (118140)
- 19 exp Child/ (628521)
- 20 exp Infant/ (173469)
- 21 exp Child, Preschool/ (104929)
- 22 exp Adolescent/ (437373)
- 23 (child\$ or infant\$ or boy\$ or girl\$ or toddler\$ or adolescen\$ or preschool\$ or preschool\$ or teenage\$ or youth\$).ti,ab. (699906)
- 24 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 (1123738)
- 25 24 and 16 (1891)
- 26 limit 25 to vr="2000 2009" (1237)
- 27 limit 26 to english language (1054)

# Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE)

Both searched via Cochrane Library 03/02/09

http://www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/cochrane/cochrane\_search\_fs.html

- #1 MeSH descriptor Pneumonia explode all trees 2084
- #2 MeSH descriptor Pneumonia, Bacterial explode all trees 576
- #3 pneumoni\*:ti,ab 3944
- #4 bronchopneumoni\*:ti,ab 89
- #5 pleuropneumoni\*:ti,ab 1
- #6 MeSH descriptor Respiratory Tract Infections explode all trees 7876
- #7 ((lower respiratory) NEAR infection\*):ti,ab 944
- #8 (#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7) 10498
- #9 MeSH descriptor Ambulatory Care explode all trees 3288
- #10 outpatient\*:ti,ab 12363

BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Children: Update 2011 Consultation draft: 18 January 2011

```
#11 ambulatory:ti,ab 5572
```

#12 MeSH descriptor Community-Acquired Infections, this term only 428

#13 (commun\* NEAR acquir\*):ti,ab 723

#14 MeSH descriptor Family Practice explode all trees 2017

#15 emergency room:ti,ab 620

#16 (#9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15) 21703

#17 (#8 AND #16) 1161

#18 MeSH descriptor Pediatrics explode all trees 409

#19 (pediatric\* or paediatric\*):ti,ab 7412

#20 MeSH descriptor Child explode all trees 0

#21 MeSH descriptor Infant explode all trees 10246

#22 MeSH descriptor Child, Preschool explode all trees 0

#23 MeSH descriptor Adolescent explode all trees 60024

#24 (child\* or infant\* or boy\* or girl\* or toddler\* or adolescen\* or pre-school\* or preschool\* or teenage\* or youth\*):ti,ab 51439

#25 (#18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23 OR #24) 104464

#26 (#17 AND #25) 411

#27 <nothing>, from 2000 to 2009 260235

#28 (#26 AND #27) 189

Of the 189 total results for the entire Cochrane Library 12 were from CDSR and 1 from DARE.

#### **Total Results**

Source	Records	After de- duplication	Custom 4 field
MEDLINE and MEDLINE In Process	1788	1779	MEDLINE 03/02/09
EMBASE	1054	291	EMBASE 03/02/09
CDSR	12	5	CDSR 03/02/09
DARE	1	1	DARE 03/02/09
Total	2855	2076	

2076 results saved to a compressed Endnote X1 library (bts cap children search.enlx). Custom 4 field of each record marked as in above table to show source.

# Appendix 2: Template data collection form for extracting study characteristics and study design items for risk of bias assessment

This form should be adapted for the collection of study characteristics in line with the methods outlined in the protocol of the review.

Part 1: Administrative details		
Extractor name:		
Date:		
Study ID:		
Citation(s):		
Part 2: Study methods, participants, interventions and outcomes		
(intended to be entered in section 'Characteristics of included studies')		
Methods		
STUDY DESIGN (parallel, crossover):		
LOCATION, NUMBER OF CENTRES:		
DURATION OF STUDY:		
Participants		
N SCREENED:		
N RANDOMISED:		
N COMPLETED:		
M=		

F=
AGE:
BASELINE DETAILS:
INCLUSION CRITERIA:
EXCLUSION CRITERIA:
Interventions
INTERVENTION:
CONTROL:
RUN-IN PERIOD:
TREATMENT PERIOD:
FOLLOW-UP PERIOD:
CO-INTERVENTIONS:
Outcomes:
Coding for subgroup analysis (e.g. adults/children; mild/moderate/severe etc):
Coding for sensitivity analysis (e.g. blinding; etc):
Part 3: Risk of bias items, notes for other extractors and correspondence
Risk of hias assessment (amend as per stated risk of hias items in protocol):

Item	Question	Judgement (delete as	Description (provide summary or paste from
		appropriate)	trial

			report/correspondence)
Adequate allocation generation?	Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?	Yes/No/Unclear	
Allocation concealment?	Was allocation adequately concealed?	Yes/No/Unclear	
Blinding?	Was knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during the study? (the importance of this may depend on the outcome(s) being measured)	Yes/No/Unclear	
Incomplete data addressed?	Were incomplete data adequately addressed?	Yes/No/Unclear	
Free of selective reporting?	Are reports of the study free of suggestion of selective reporting bias?	Yes/No/Unclear	
Free of other bias?	(Use additional rows if further risk of bias items are required)	Yes/No/Unclear	
(Add items as appropriate)		Yes/No/Unclear	

### Notes:

Requirement for further correspondence (see sheets with extracted data to see whether numerical outcome data are also required):

# Yes/No

What information regarding the design of the study is needed from investigators/study sponsors?

What information regarding the results of the study is required from investigators/study sponsors?

# Appendix 3: Brief description of the generic levels of evidence and guideline statement grades used

Evidence Level	Definition	Guideline statement grade
la	A good recent systematic review of studies designed to answer the question of interest	A+
lb	One or more rigorous studies designed to answer the question, but not formally combined	Α-
II	One or more prospective clinical studies which illuminate, but do not rigorously answer, the question	B+
	One or more retrospective clinical studies which illuminate, but do not rigorously answer, the question	B-
IVa	Formal combination of expert views	С
IVb	Other information	D

#### References

- 1. Korppi M, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Jalonen E, Saikku P, Leinonen M, Halonen P, et al. Aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children treated in hospital. Eur J Pediatr. 1993 Jan;152(1):24-30.
- 2. Senstad AC, Suren P, Brauteset L, Eriksson JR, Hoiby EA, Wathne KO. Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in children in Oslo, Norway. Acta Paediatr. 2009 Feb;98(2):332-6.
- 3. Clark JE, Hammal D, Hampton F, Spencer D, Parker L. Epidemiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children seen in hospital. Epidemiology and infection. 2007 Feb;135(2):262-9.
- 4. Weigl JA, Puppe W, Belke O, Neususs J, Bagci F, Schmitt HJ. Population-based incidence of severe pneumonia in children in Kiel, Germany. Klin Padiatr. 2005 Jul-Aug;217(4):211-9.
- 5. Weigl JA, Bader HM, Everding A, Schmitt HJ. Population-based burden of pneumonia before school entry in Schleswig-Holstein, Germany. Eur J Pediatr. 2003 May;162(5):309-16.
- 6. Forster J, Ihorst G, Rieger CH, Stephan V, Frank HD, Gurth H, et al. Prospective population-based study of viral lower respiratory tract infections in children under 3 years of age (the PRI.DE study). Eur J Pediatr. 2004 Dec;163(12):709-16.
- 7. Grijalva CG, Nuorti JP, Zhu Y, Griffin MR. Increasing incidence of empyema complicating childhood community-acquired pneumonia in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2010 Mar 15;50(6):805-13.

- 8. Koshy E, Murray J, Bottle A, Sharland M, Saxena S. Impact of the seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccination (PCV7) programme on childhood hospital admissions for bacterial pneumonia and empyema in England: national time-trends study, 1997-2008. Thorax. 2010 Sep;65(9):770-4.
- 9. Canani RB, Cirillo P, Roggero P, Romano C, Malamisura B, Terrin G, et al. Therapy with gastric acidity inhibitors increases the risk of acute gastroenteritis and community-acquired pneumonia in children. Pediatrics. 2006 May;117(5):e817-20.
- 10. Melegaro A, Edmunds WJ, Pebody R, Miller E, George R. The current burden of pneumococcal disease in England and Wales. The Journal of infection. 2006 Jan;52(1):37-48.
- 11. Di Ciommo V, Russo P, Attanasio E, Di Liso G, Graziani C, Caprino L. Clinical and economic outcomes of pneumonia in children: a longitudinal observational study in an Italian paediatric hospital. J Eval Clin Pract. 2002 Aug;8(3):341-8.
- 12. Ehlken B, Ihorst G, Lippert B, Rohwedder A, Petersen G, Schumacher M, et al. Economic impact of community-acquired and nosocomial lower respiratory tract infections in young children in Germany. Eur J Pediatr. 2005 Oct;164(10):607-15.
- 13. Shoham Y, Dagan R, Givon-Lavi N, Liss Z, Shagan T, Zamir O, et al. Community-acquired pneumonia in children: quantifying the burden on patients and their families including decrease in quality of life. Pediatrics. 2005 May;115(5):1213-9.
- 14. Clark J. Personal communication, 2009.

- 15. Lorgelly PK, Atkinson M, Lakhanpaul M, Smyth AR, Vyas H, Weston V, et al. Oral versus i.v. antibiotics for community-acquired pneumonia in children: a cost-minimisation analysis. Eur Respir J. 2010 Apr;35(4):858-64.
- 16. Claesson BA, Trollfors B, Brolin I, Granstrom M, Henrichsen J, Jodal U, et al. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children based on antibody responses to bacterial and viral antigens. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1989;8(12):856-62.
- 17. Juven T, Mertsola J, Waris M, Leinonen M, Meurman O, Roivainen M, et al. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in 254 hospitalized children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2000;19(4):293-8.
- 18. Ruuskanen O, Nohynek H, Ziegler T, Capeding R, Rikalainen H, Huovinen P, et al. Pneumonia in childhood: etiology and response to antimicrobial therapy. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1992;11(3):217-23.
- 19. Wubbel L, Muniz L, Ahmed A, Trujillo M, Carubelli C, McCoig C, et al. Etiology and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in ambulatory children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1999;18(2):98-104.
- 20. Clark JE, Hammal D, Spencer D, Hampton F. Children with pneumonia: how do they present and how are they managed? Arch Dis Child. 2007;92(5):394-8.
- 21. Michelow IC, Lozano J, Olsen K, Goto C, Rollins NK, Ghaffar F, et al. Diagnosis of Streptococcus pneumoniae lower respiratory infection in hospitalized children by culture, polymerase chain reaction, serological testing, and urinary antigen detection. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(1):E1-11.
- 22. Resti M, Moriondo M, Cortimiglia M, Indolfi G, Canessa C, Becciolini L, et al. Community-acquired bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia in children:

- diagnosis and serotyping by real-time polymerase chain reaction using blood samples. Clin Infect Dis. 2010 Nov 1;51(9):1042-9.
- 23. Don M, Fasoli L, al. e. Aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia: serological results of a paediatric survey. Scand J Infect Diseases. 2005;37(11-12):806-12.
- 24. Michelow IC, Olsen K, Lozano J, Rollins NK, Duffy LB, Ziegler T, et al. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized children. Pediatrics. 2004;113(4):701-7.
- 25. Tsolia MN, Psarras S, Bossios A, Audi H, Paldanius M, Gourgiotis D, et al. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized school-age children: evidence for high prevalence of viral infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39(5):681-6. Epub 2004 Aug 13.
- 26. Cevey-Macherel M, Galetto-Lacour A, Gervaix A, Siegrist C-A, Bille J, Bescher-Ninet B, et al. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalised children based on WHO clinical guidelines. Eur J Pediatr. 2009;168(12):1429-36.
- 27. Wolf DG, Greenberg D, Shemer-Avni Y, Givon-Lavi N, Bar-Ziv J, Dagan R. Association of human metapneumovirus with radiologically diagnosed community-acquired alveolar pneumonia in young children. J Pediatr. 2010 Jan;156(1):115-20.
- 28. Cilla G, Onate E, al. e. Viruses in community-acquired pneumonia in children aged less than 3 years old: High rate of viral coinfection. J Med Virol. 2008;80(10):1843-9.
- 29. Hamano-Hasegawa K, Morozumi M, Nakayama E, Chiba N, Murayama SY, Takayanagi R, et al. Comprehensive detection of causative pathogens

- using real-time PCR to diagnose pediatric community-acquired pneumonia. J Infect Chemother. 2008;14(6):424-32.
- 30. Lin PY, Lin TY, Huang YC, Tsao KC, Huang YL. Human metapneumovirus and community-acquired pneumonia in children. Chang Gung Med J. 2005;28(10):683-8.
- 31. Drummond P, Clark J, Wheeler J, Galloway A, Freeman R, Cant A. Community acquired pneumonia--a prospective UK study. Arch Dis Child. 2000 Nov;83(5):408-12.
- 32. Laundy M, Ajayi-Obe E, Hawrami K, Aitken C, Breuer J, Booy R. Influenza A community-acquired pneumonia in East London infants and young children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2003;22(10):S223-S7.
- 33. Choi E, Lee H, Kim S, Eun B, Kim N, al. e. The association of newly identified respiratory viruses with lower respiratory tract infections in Korean children, 2000-2005. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43:585-92.
- 34. Williams J, Harris P, Tollefson S, Halburnt-Rush L, Pingsterhaus J, Edwards K, et al. Human metapneumovirus and lower respiratory tract disease in otherwise healthy infants and children. The New England journal of medicine. 2004;350:443-50.
- 35. Wolf D, Greenberg D, Kalkstein D, Shemer-Avni Y, Givon-Lavi N, Saleh N, et al. Comparison of human metapneumovirus, respiratory syncytial virus and influenza A virus lower respiratory tract infections in hospitalised young children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2006;25:320-4.
- 36. Fry A, Lu X, Chittaganpitch M, Peret T, Fischer J, Dowell S, et al. Human bocavirus: A novel parvovirus epidemiologically associated with

pneumonia requiring hospitalization in Thailand. J Infect Dis. 2007;195:1038-45.

- 37. Clements H, Stephenson TJ. Blood culture is a poor method of confirming pneumococcus as cause of childhood pneumonia. BMJ. 1996;313(7059):757.
- 38. Vuori-Holopainen E, Peltola H. Reappraisal of lung tap: review of an old method for better etiologic diagnosis of childhood pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32(5):715-26.
- 39. Vuori-Holopainen E, Salo E, Saxen H, Hedman K, Hyypia T, Lahdenpera R, et al. Etiological diagnosis of childhood pneumonia by use of transthoracic needle aspiration and modern microbiological methods.[comment]. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(5):583-90.
- 40. Isaacman DJ, McIntosh ED, Reinert RR. Burden of invasive pneumococcal disease and serotype distribution among Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates in young children in Europe: impact of the 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and considerations for future conjugate vaccines. Int J Infect Dis. 2010 Mar;14(3):e197-209.
- 41. Eastham KM, Freeman R, Kearns AM, Eltringham G, Clark J, Leeming J, et al. Clinical features, aetiology and outcome of empyema in children in the north east of England. Thorax. 2004;59:522-5.
- 42. Lucero MG, Nohynek H, Williams G, Tallo V, Simoes EA, Lupisan S, et al. Efficacy of an 11-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine against radiologically confirmed pneumonia among children less than 2 years of age in the Philippines: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2009;28(6):455-62.

- 43. Cutts FT, Zaman SM, Enwere G, Jaffar S, Levine OS, Okoko JB, et al. Efficacy of nine-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine against pneumonia and invasive pneumococcal disease in The Gambia: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;365(9465):1139-46.
- 44. Black SB, Shinefield HR, Ling S, Hansen J, Fireman B, Spring D, et al. Effectiveness of heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in children younger than five years of age for prevention of pneumonia. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2002;21(9):810-5.
- 45. Lucero MG, Dulalia VE, Nillos LT, Williams G, Parreno RA, Nohynek H, et al. Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines for preventing vaccine-type invasive pneumococcal disease and X-ray defined pneumonia in children less than two years of age. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;28(4):CD004977.
- 46. Al-Kaabi N, Solh Z, Pacheco S, Murray L, Gaboury I, Le Saux N. A Comparison of group A Streptococcus versus Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2006;25(11):1008-12.
- 47. Finelli L, Fiore A, Dhara R, Brammer L, Shay DK, Kamimoto L, et al. Influenza-associated pediatric mortality in the United States: increase of Staphylococcus aureus coinfection. Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122(4):805-11.
- 48. Claesson BA, Lagergard T, Trollfors B. Antibody response to outer membrane of noncapsulated Haemophilus influenzae isolated from the nasopharynx of children with pneumonia. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1991;10(2):104-8.
- 49. Claesson BA, Leinonen M. Moraxella catarrhalis--an uncommon cause of community-acquired pneumonia in Swedish children. Scand J Infect Dis. 1994;26(4):399-402.

- 50. Korppi M, Katila ML, Jaaskelainen J, Leinonen M. Role of Moraxella (Branhamella) catarrhalis as a respiratory pathogen in children. Acta Paediatr. 1992;81(12):993-6.
- 51. British Thoracic Society of Standards of Care Committee. BTS Guidelines for the Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Childhood. Thorax. 2002 2002;57(90001):1i-24.
- 52. Block S, Hedrick J, Hammerschlag MR, Cassell GH, Craft JC. Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in pediatric community-acquired pneumonia: comparative efficacy and safety of clarithromycin vs. erythromycin ethylsuccinate. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1995;14(6):471-7.
- 53. Heiskanen-Kosma T, Paldanius M, Korppi M. Simkania negevensis may be a true cause of community acquired pneumonia in children. Scand J Infect Dis. 2008;40(2):127-30.
- 54. Fasoli L, Paldanius M, Don M, Valent F, Vetrugno L, Korppi M, et al. Simkania negevensis in community-acquired pneumonia in Italian children. Scand J Infect Dis. 2008;40(3):269-72.
- 55. Kurz H, Gopfrich H, Wabnegger L, Apfalter P. Role of Chlamydophila pneumoniae in children hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia in Vienna, Austria. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2009 Sep;44(9):873-6.
- 56. Principi N, Esposito S, Blasi F, Allegra L, Mowgli study g. Role of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in children with community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32(9):1281-9.

- 57. Baer G, Engelcke G, Abele-Horn M, Schaad UB, Heininger U. Role of Chlamydia pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae as causative agents of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalised children and adolescents. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2003;22(12):742-5. Epub 2003 Nov 11.
- 58. Somer A, Salman N, Yalcin I, Agacfidan A. Role of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in children with community-acquired pneumonia in Istanbul, Turkey. J Trop Pediatr. 2006 Jun;52(3):173-8.
- 59. Korppi M, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Kleemola M. Incidence of community-acquired pneumonia in children caused by Mycoplasma pneumoniae: serological results of a prospective, population-based study in primary health care. Respirology. 2004;9(1):109-14.
- 60. Heiskanen-Kosma T, Korppi M, Jokinen C, Kurki S, Heiskanen L, Juvonen H, et al. Etiology of childhood pneumonia: serologic results of a prospective, population-based study. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1998;17(11):986-91.
- 61. Korppi M. Mixed microbial aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children. Apmis. 2002;110(7-8):515-22.
- 62. Gendrel D, Raymond J, Moulin F, Iniguez JL, Ravilly S, Habib F, et al. Etiology and response to antibiotic therapy of community-acquired pneumonia in French children. Eur J Clin Microbiol. 1997;16(5):388-91.
- 63. Harris JA, Kolokathis A, Campbell M, Cassell GH, Hammerschlag MR. Safety and efficacy of azithromycin in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1998;17(10):865-71.

- 64. Korppi M. Non-specific host response markers in the differentiation between pneumococcal and viral pneumonia: what is the most accurate combination? Pediatr Int. 2004 Oct;46(5):545-50.
- 65. Lee KY. Pediatric respiratory infections by Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2008;6(4):509-21.
- 66. Esposito S, Bosis S, Faelli N, Begliatti E, Droghetti R, Tremolati E, et al. Role of atypical bacteria and azithromycin therapy for children with recurrent respiratory tract infections. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2005 May;24(5):438-44.
- 67. Smyth A, Carty H, Hart CA. Clinical predictors of hypoxaemia in children with pneumonia. Ann Trop Paediatr. 1998 March;18(1):31-40.
- 68. Palafox M, Guiscafre H, Reyes H, al. e. Diagnostic value of tachypnoea in pneumonia defined radiologically. Arch Dis Child. 2000;82:41-5.
- 69. Harnden A, Perera R, Brueggemann AB, Mayon-White R, Crook DW, Thomson A, et al. Respiratory infections for which general practitioners consider prescribing an antibiotic: a prospective study. Arch Dis Child. 2007;92(7):594-7.
- 70. Harari M, Shann F, Spooner V, al. e. Clinical signs of pneumonia in children. Lancet. 1991;338:928-30.
- 71. Cherian T, John TJ, Simoes E, al. e. Evaluation of simple clinical signs for the diagnosis of acute lower respiratory tract infection. Lancet. 1988;II:125-8.
- 72. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Grupp-Phelan J, Brody AS, Donnelly LF, Bracey SEA, Duma EM, et al. Identifying children with pneumonia in the emergency department. Clin Pediatr. 2005 Jun;44(5):427-35.

- 73. Esposito S, Bosis S, al. e. Characteristics of Streptococcus pnuemoniae and atypical bacterial infections in children 2-5 years of age with community-acquired pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35(11):1345-52.
- 74. March M, Sant'Anna CC. Signs and symptoms indicative of community-acquired pneumonia in infants under six months. Braz J Infect Dis. 2005;9(2):150-5.
- 75. Klig JE. Office pediatrics: current persectives on the outpatient evaluation and management of lower respiratory infections in children. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2006;18(1):71-6.
- 76. Korppi M, Don M, Valent F, Canciani M. The value of clinical features in differentiating between viral, pneumococcal and atypical bacterial pneumonia in children. Acta Paediatr. 2008 Jul;97(7):943-7.
- 77. Broughton RA. Infections due to mycoplasma pneumoniae in childhood. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1986;5:71-85.
- 78. NICE. Feverish Illness in Children assessment and initial management in children younger than 5 years. CG47 ed. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2007.
- 79. Hazir T, Nisar YB, Qazi SA, Khan SF, Raza M, Zameer S, et al. Chest radiography in children aged 2-59 months diagnosed with non-severe pneumonia as defined by World Health Organization: descriptive multicentre study in Pakistan. BMJ. 2006 Sep 23;333(7569):629.
- 80. Redd SC, et al. Comparison of the clinical and radiographic diagnosis of paediatric pneumonia. T Roy Soc Trop Med H. 1994 May-June 1994;88(3):307-10.

- 81. Zar H J, Jeena P, Argent A, Gie R, Madhi S A, Society atmotWGotPAotSAT. Diagnosis and Management of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Childhood South African Thoracic Society Guidelines. S Afr Med J. 2005 December 2005;95(12):977-89.
- 82. Rigsby CK, Strife JL, Johnson ND, Atherton HD, Pommersheim W, Kotagal UR. Is the frontal radiograph alone sufficient to evaluate for pneumonia in children? Pediatr Radiol. 2004 May;34(5):379-83.
- 83. Kiekara O, Korppi M, Tanska S, Soimakallio S. Radiological Diagnosis of Pneumonia in Children. Ann Med. 1996;28(1):69-72.
- 84. WHO. WHO Model Chapter for Textbooks: IMCI, Integrated

  Management of Childhood Illness. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2001.
- 85. Toikka P, Irjala K, Juven T, Virkki R, Mertsola J, Leinonen M, et al. Serum procalcitonin, C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 for distinguishing bacterial and viral pneumonia in children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2000 Jul;19(7):598-602.
- 86. Virkki R, Juven T, Rikalainen H, Svedstrom E, Mertsola J, Ruuskanen O. Differentiation of bacterial and viral pneumonia in children. Thorax. 2002 May;57(5):438-41.
- 87. Virkki R, Juven T, Mertsola J, Ruuskanen O. Radiographic follow-up of pneumonia in children. Pediatr Pulm. 2005 Sep;40(3):223-7.
- 88. Suren P, Try K, Eriksson J, Khoshnewiszadeh B, Wathne K-O. Radiographic follow-up of community-acquired pneumonia in children. Acta Paediatr. 2008 Jan;97(1):46-50.

- 89. Korppi M, Remes S, Heiskanen-Kosma T. Serum procalcitonin concentrations in bacterial pneumonia in children: a negative result in primary healthcare settings. Pediatr Pulm. 2003 Jan;35(1):56-61.
- 90. Don M, Valent F, Korppi M, Falleti E, De Candia A, Fasoli L, et al. Efficacy of serum procalcitonin in evaluating severity of community-acquired pneumonia in childhood. Scand J Infect Dis. 2007;39(2):129-37.
- 91. Don M, Valent F, Korppi M, Canciani M. Differentiation of bacterial and viral community-acquired pneumonia in children. Pediatr Int. 2009 Feb;51(1):91-6.
- 92. Michelow IC, Katz K, McCracken GH, Hardy RD. Systemic cytokine profile in children with community-acquired pneumonia. Pediatr Pulm. 2007 Jul;42(7):640-5.
- 93. Flood RG, Badik J, Aronoff SC. The utility of serum C-reactive protein in differentiating bacterial from nonbacterial pneumonia in children: a meta-analysis of 1230 children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2008 Feb;27(2):95-9.
- 94. Heiskanen-Kosma T, Korppi M. Serum C-reactive protein cannot differentiate bacterial and viral aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children in primary healthcare settings. Scand J Infect Dis. 2000;32(4):399-402.
- 95. Moulin F, Raymond J, Lorrot M, Marc E, Coste J, Iniguez JL, et al. Procalcitonin in children admitted to hospital with community acquired pneumonia. Arch Dis Child. 2001 Apr;84(4):332-6.
- 96. Lambert SB, Whiley DM, O'Neill NT, Andrews EC, Canavan FM, Bletchly C, et al. Comparing nose-throat swabs and nasopharyngeal aspirates

- collected from children with symptoms for respiratory virus identification using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Pediatrics. 2008 Sep;122(3):e615-20.
- 97. Korppi M. Pneumococcal serology in children's respiratory infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol. 2008:167-75.
- 98. Fletcher M, Leeming J, Cartwright K, Finn A, Group SWoEICAIS.

  Childhood empyema: limited potential impact of 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2006;25(6):559-60.
- 99. Le Monnier A, Carbonelle E, Zahar J-R, Le Bourgeois M, Abachin E, Quesne G, et al. Microbiological Diagnosis of Empyema in Children: Comparative Evaluations by Culture, Polymerase Chain Reaction, and Pneumococcal Antigen Detection in Pleural Fluids. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;42:1135-40.
- 100. Charkaluk M-L, Kalach N, Mvogo H, Dehecq E, Magentie H, Raymond J, et al. Assessment of a rapid urinary antigen detection by an immunochromatographic test for diagnosis of pneumococcal infection in children. Diagn Micr Infect Dis. 2006 2006;55:89-94.
- 101. Rajalakshmi B, Kanungo R, Srinivasan S, Badrinath S. Pneumolysin in urine: A rapid antigen detection method to diagnose pneumococcal pneumonia in children. Indian J Med Microbi. 2002 Oct-Dec;20(4):183-6.
- 102. Michelow IC, Olsen K, Lozano J, Duffy LB, McCracken GH, Hardy RD. Diagnostic utility and clinical significance of naso- and oropharyngeal samples used in a PCR assay to diagnose Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in children with community-acquired pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol. 2004 Jul;42(7):3339-41.

- 103. Dagan R, Shriker O, Hazan I, Leibovitz E, Greenberg D, Schlaeffer F, et al. Prospective study to determine clinical relevance of detection of pneumococcal DNA in sera of children by PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36(3):669-73.
- 104. Maltezou HC, La-Scola B, Astra H, Constantopoulou I, Vlahou V, Kafetzis DA, et al. Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Legionella pneumophila in community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections among hospitalized children: diagnosis by real time PCR. Scand J Infect Dis. 2004;36(9):639-42. 105. Nilsson AC, Bjorkman P, Persson K. Polymerase chain reaction is superior to serology for the diagnosis of acute Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection and reveals a high rate of persistent infection. . BMC Microbiol.
- 106. Shetty AK, Treynor E, Hill DW, Gutierrez KM, Warford A, Baron EJ. Comparison of conventional viral cultures with direct fluorescent antibody stains for diagnosis of community-acquired respiratory virus infections in hospitalized children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2003 Sep;22(9):789-94.

2008;8:93.

- 107. Thompson M, Coad N, Harnden A, Mayon-White R, Perera R, Mant D. How well do vital signs identify children with serious infections in paediatric emergency care? Arch Dis Child. 2009 November 2009;94(11):888-93.
- 108. Langley JM, Bradley JS. Defining pneumonia in critically ill infants and children. Pediatr Crit Care Me. 2005;6(3):S9-S13.
- 109. Margenthaler JA, Weber TR, Keller MS. Predictors of Surgical Outcome for Complicated Pneumonia in Children: Impact of Bacterial Virulence. World J Surg. 2004;28(1):87-91.

- 110. Bharti B, Bharti S, Verma V. Role of Acute Illness Observation Scale (AIOS) in managing severe childhood pneumonia. Indian J Pediatr. 2007;74(1):27-32.
- 111. Thompson M, Harnden A, Perera R, Mayon-White R, Smith L, McLeod D, et al. Deriving temperature and age appropriate heart rate centiles for children with acute infections. Arch Dis Child. 2009;94(5):361-5.
- 112. Lin C-J, Chen P-Y, Huang F-L, Lee T, Chi C-S, Lin C-Y. Radiographic, clinical, and prognostic features of complicated and uncomplicated community-acquired lobar pneumonia in children. J Microbiol Immunol. 2006 Dec;39(6):489-95.
- 113. Balfour-Lynn IM, Abrahamson E, Cohen G, Hartley J, King S, Parikh D, et al. BTS guidelines for the management of pleural infection in children.

  Thorax. 2005;60(Suppl 1):i1 21.
- 114. Chang CC, Cheng AC, Chang AB. Over-the-counter (OTC) medications to reduce cough as an adjunct to antibiotics for acute pneumonia in children and adults. . Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;4:CD006088.
- 115. Kumar RM, Kabra SK, Singh M. Efficacy and acceptability of different modes of oxygen administration in children: implications for a community hospital. J Trop Pediatr. 1997;43:47-9.
- 116. van Someren V, Linnett SJ, Stothers JK, al. e. An investigation into the benefits of resiting nasoenteric feeding tubes. . Pediatrics. 1984;73:379-83.
- 117. Sporik R. Why block a small hole? The adverse affects of nasogastric tubes. Arch Dis Child. 1994;71:393-4.

- 118. Anonymous. Reducing the risk of hyponatraemia when administering intravenous fluids to children. : National Patient Safety Agency2007 28 March 2007 Contract No.: Patient Safety Alert Number 22.
- 119. Britton S, Bejstedt M, Vedin L. Chest physiotherapy in primary pneumonia. BMJ (Clin Res Ed). 1985;290:1703-4.
- 120. Levine A. Chest physical therapy for children with pneumonia. . J Am Osteopath Assoc. 1978;78:122-5.
- 121. Gilchrist FJ. Is the use of chest physiotherapy beneficial in children with community acquired pneumonia? Arch Dis Child. 2008;93(2):176-8.
- 122. Stapleton T. Chest physiotherapy in primary pneumonia. BMJ. 1985;291:143.
- 123. Tsarouhas N, Shaw KN, Hodinka RL, Bell LM. Effectiveness of intramuscular penicillin versus oral amoxicillin in the early treatment of outpatient pediatric pneumonia. Pediatr Emerg Care. 1998 Oct;14(5):338-41.
- 124. Friis B, Andersen P, Brenoe E, Hornsleth A, Jensen A, Knudsen FU, et al. Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia and bronchiolitis. A prospective randomised study. Arch Dis Child. 1984 Nov;59(11):1038-45.
- 125. Agarwal G, Awasthi S, Kabra SK, Kaul A, Singhi S, Walter SD, et al. Three day versus five day treatment with amoxicillin for non-severe pneumonia in young children: a multicentre randomised controlled trial [erratum appears in BMJ. 2004 May 1;328(7447):1066]. BMJ. 2004 Apr 3;328(7443):791.
- 126. Awasthi S, Agarwal G, Kabra SK, Singhi S, Kulkarni M, More V, et al. Does 3-day course of oral amoxycillin benefit children of non-severe

- pneumonia with wheeze: a multicentric randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2008;3(4):e1991.
- 127. Jacobs MR, Good CE, Beall B, Bajaksouzian S, Windau AR, Whitney CG. Changes in serotypes and antimicrobial susceptibility of invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae strains in Cleveland: a quarter century of experience. J Clin Microbiol. 2008 Mar;46(3):982-90.
- 128. Dagan R, Klugman KP. Impact of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines on antibiotic resistance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2008 Dec;8(12):785-95.
- 129. Richter SS, Heilmann KP, Dohrn CL, Riahi F, Beekmann SE, Doern GV. Changing epidemiology of antimicrobial-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae in the United States, 2004-2005. Clin Infect Dis. 2009 Feb 1;48(3):e23-33.
- 130. Jacobs MR. Antimicrobial-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae: trends and management. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2008 Oct;6(5):619-35.
- 131. Jacobs MR, Dagan R. Antimicrobial resistance among pediatric respiratory tract infections: clinical challenges. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis. 2004;15(1):5-20.
- 132. Farrell DJ, File TM, Jenkins SG. Prevalence and antibacterial susceptibility of mef(A)-positive macrolide-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae over 4 years (2000 to 2004) of the PROTEKT US Study. J Clin Microbiol. 2007 Feb;45(2):290-3.
- 133. Dias R, Canica M. Trends in resistance to penicillin and erythromycin of invasive pneumococci in Portugal. Epidemiology and infection. 2008 Jul;136(7):928-39.

- 134. Muller-Pebody B, Johnson A, Lillie M, Duckworth G. Antimicrobial Resistance and Prescribing in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, 2008. London: Health Protection Agency2008 July 2008.
- 135. Beasley L, Pichon B, Martin S, Pike R, Warner M, Slack M, et al.

  Genetic Determinants of Antibiotic Resistance in pneumococci Causing

  Invasive pneumococcal Disease in Children in Relation to the Introduction of

  Prevenar in the UK [Poster]. HPA Warwick conference; Warwick: HPA; 2008.
- 136. Beekmann SE, Heilmann KP, Richter SS, Garcia-de-Lomas J, Doern GV. Antimicrobial resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Moraxella catarrhalis and group A beta-haemolytic streptococci in 2002-2003. Results of the multinational GRASP Surveillance Program. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2005 Feb;25(2):148-56.
- 137. Alfaro C, Fergie J, Purcell K. Emergence of community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in complicated parapneumonic effusions. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2005 Mar;24(3):274-6.
- 138. Clements H, Stephenson T, Gabriel V, Harrison T, Millar M, Smyth A, et al. Rationalised prescribing for community acquired pneumonia: a closed loop audit. Arch Dis Child. 2000 Oct;83(4):320-4.
- 139. Tan TQ, Mason EO, Jr., Barson WJ, Wald ER, Schutze GE, Bradley JS, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcome of children with pneumonia attributable to penicillin-susceptible and penicillin-nonsusceptible Streptococcus pneumoniae. Pediatrics. 1998;102(6):1369-75.
- 140. Friedland IR. Comparison of the response to antimicrobial therapy of penicillin-resistant and penicillin-susceptible pneumococcal disease. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1995 Oct;14(10):885-90.

- 141. Paganini H, Guinazu JR, Hernandez C, Lopardo H, Gonzalez F, Berberian G. Comparative analysis of outcome and clinical features in children with pleural empyema caused by penicillin-nonsusceptible and penicillin-susceptible Streptococcus pneumoniae. Int J Infect Dis. 2001;5(2):86-8.
- 142. Buckingham SC, McCullers JA, Lujan-Zilbermann J, Knapp KM, Orman KL, English BK. Pneumococcal meningitis in children: relationship of antibiotic resistance to clinical characteristics and outcomes. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2001 Sep;20(9):837-43.
- 143. Malhotra-Kumar S, Lammens C, Coenen S, Van Herck K, Goossens H. Effect of azithromycin and clarithromycin therapy on pharyngeal carriage of macrolide-resistant streptococci in healthy volunteers: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Lancet. 2007 Feb 10;369(9560):482-90.
- 144. Lonks JR. What Is the Clinical Impact of Macrolide Resistance? Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2004;6(1):7-12.
- 145. Yanagihara K, Izumikawa K, Higa F, Tateyama M, Tokimatsu I, Hiramatsu K, et al. Efficacy of azithromycin in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia, including patients with macrolide-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae infection. Intern Med. 2009;48(7):527-35.
- 146. Feikin DR, Schuchat A, Kolczak M, Barrett NL, Harrison LH, Lefkowitz L, et al. Mortality from invasive pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of antibiotic resistance, 1995-1997. Am J Public Health. 2000 Feb;90(2):223-9.
- 147. Klein M. Multicenter trial of cefpodoxime proxetil vs. amoxicillinclavulanate in acute lower respiratory tract infections in childhood.

- International Study Group. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1995 Apr;14(4 Suppl):S19-22.
- 148. Amir J, Harel L, Eidlitz-Markus T, Varsano I. Comparative evaluation of cefixime versus amoxicillin-clavulanate following ceftriaxone therapy of pneumonia. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1996 Dec;35(12):629-33.
- 149. Galova K, Sufliarska S, Kukova Z, Danisovicova A, Hrachova I, Grausova S, et al. Multicenter randomized study of two once daily regimens in the initial management of community-acquired respiratory tract infections in 163 children: azithromycin versus ceftibuten. Chemotherapy. 1996 May-Jun;42(3):231-4.
- 150. Vuori-Holopainen E, Peltola H, Kallio MJT. Narrow- versus broadspectrum parenteral antimicrobials against common infections of childhood: A prospective and randomised comparison between penicillin and cefuroxime. Eur J Pediatr. 2000;159(12):878-84.
- 151. Ferwerda A, Moll HA, Hop WC, Kouwenberg JM, Tjon Pian Gi CV, Robben SG, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of 3 day azithromycin versus 10 day co-amoxiclav in the treatment of children with acute lower respiratory tract infections. J Antimicrob Chemoth. 2001 Apr;47(4):441-6.
- 152. Aurangzeb B, Hameed A. Comparative efficacy of amoxicillin, cefuroxime and clarithromycin in the treatment of community -acquired pneumonia in children. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2003 Dec;13(12):704-7.
- 153. Bradley JS, Arguedas A, Blumer JL, Saez-Llorens X, Melkote R, Noel GJ. Comparative study of levofloxacin in the treatment of children with community-acquired pneumonia. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2007 Oct;26(10):868-78.

- 154. Manfredi R, Jannuzzi C, Mantero E, Longo L, Schiavone R, Tempesta A, et al. Clinical comparative study of azithromycin versus erythromycin in the treatment of acute respiratory tract infections in children. J Chemother. 1992 Dec;4(6):364-70.
- 155. Ficnar B, Huzjak N, Oreskovic K, Matrapazovski M, Klinar I.

  Azithromycin: 3-day versus 5-day course in the treatment of respiratory tract infections in children. Croatian Azithromycin Study Group. J Chemother. 1997

  Feb;9(1):38-43.
- 156. Lee P-I, Wu M-H, Huang L-M, Chen J-M, Lee C-Y. An open, randomized, comparative study of clarithromycin and erythromycin in the treatment of children with community-acquired pneumonia. J Microbiol Immunol. 2008 Feb;41(1):54-61.
- 157. Kabra SK, Lodha R, Pandey RM. Antibiotics for community acquired pneumonia in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;3:CD004874.
- 158. Kabra SK, Lodha R, Pandey RM. Antibiotics for community-acquired pneumonia in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;3:CD004874.
- 159. Hazir T, Fox LM, Nisar YB, Fox MP, Ashraf YP, MacLeod WB, et al. Ambulatory short-course high-dose oral amoxicillin for treatment of severe pneumonia in children: a randomised equivalency trial. Lancet. 2008 Jan 5;371(9606):49-56.
- 160. Fonseca W, Hoppu K, Rey LC, Amaral J, Qazi S. Comparing pharmacokinetics of amoxicillin given twice or three times per day to children older than 3 months with pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Ch. 2003

  Mar;47(3):997-1001.

- 161. Hazir T, Qazi SA, Bin Nisar Y, Maqbool S, Asghar R, Iqbal I, et al. Comparison of standard versus double dose of amoxicillin in the treatment of non-severe pneumonia in children aged 2-59 months: a multi-centre, double blind, randomised controlled trial in Pakistan. Arch Dis Child. 2007 Apr;92(4):291-7.
- 162. Shames JM, George RB, Holliday WB, Rasch JR, Mogabgab WJ. Comparison of antibiotics in the treatment of mycoplasmal pneumonia. Arch Intern Med. 1970 Apr;125(4):680-4.
- 163. Tagliabue C, Salvatore CM, Techasaensiri C, Mejias A, Torres JP, Katz K, et al. The impact of steroids given with macrolide therapy on experimental Mycoplasma pneumoniae respiratory infection. J Infect Dis. 2008 Oct 15;198(8):1180-8.
- 164. Lu Y-J, Chen T-H, Lin L-H, Shen C-M, Huang C-H. Macrolide use shortens fever duration in Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in children: a 2-year experience. J Microbiol Immunol. 2008 Aug;41(4):307-10.
- 165. Mulholland S, Gavranich JB, Chang AB. Antibiotics for community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections secondary to Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010(7):CD004875.
- 166. Addo-Yobo E, Chisaka N, Hassan M, Hibberd P, Lozano JM, Jeena P, et al. Oral amoxicillin versus injectable penicillin for severe pneumonia in children aged 3 to 59 months: a randomised multicentre equivalency study. Lancet. 2004;364(9440):1141-8.
- 167. Atkinson M, Lakhanpaul M, Smyth A, Vyas H, Weston V, Sithole J, et al. Comparison of oral amoxicillin and intravenous benzyl penicillin for community acquired pneumonia in children (PIVOT trial): a multicentre

- pragmatic randomised controlled equivalence trial. Thorax. 2007 Dec;62(12):1102-6.
- 168. Rojas MX, Granados Rugeles C. Oral antibiotics versus parenteral antibiotics for severe pneumonia in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006(2).
- 169. Haider B, Saeed M, Bhutta Z. Short-course versus long-course antibiotic therapy for non-severe community-acquired pneumonia in children aged 2 months to 59
- months (Review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008(2).
- 170. Qazi S. Clinical efficacy of 3 days versus 5 days of oral amoxicillin for treatment of childhood pneumonia: A multicentre double-blind trial. Lancet. 2002 14 Sep;360(9336):835-41.
- 171. Deeks SL, Palacio R, Ruvinsky R, Kertesz DA, Hortal M, Rossi A, et al. Risk factors and course of illness among children with invasive penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. The Streptococcus pneumoniae Working Group. Pediatrics. 1999;103(2):409-13.
- 172. Chonmaitree T, Powell KR. Parapneumonic pleural effusion and empyema in children. Review of a 19-year experience, 1962–1980. Clin Pediatr. 1983;22:414-9.
- 173. Hamm H, Light RW. Parapneumonic effusion and empyema. Eur Respir J. 1997;10:1150-6.
- 174. Buckingham SC, King MD, Miller ML. Incidence and etiologies of complicated parapneumonic effusions in children, 1996 to 2001. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2003;22(6):499-504.

- 175. Byington CL, Spencer LY, Johnson TA, Pavia AT, Allen D, Mason EO, et al. An Epidemiological Investigation of a Sustained High Rate of Pediatric Parapneumonic Empyema: Risk Factors and Microbiological Associations.

  Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(4):434-40.
- 176. Ramphul N, E EK, Roger F, Gary E, Angela MK, John PL, et al. Cavitatory lung disease complicating empyema in children. Pediatr Pulm. 2006;41(8):750-3.
- 177. Sowicki GS, Lu FL, Valim C, Cleveland RH, Colin AA. Necrotising pneumonia is an increasingly detected complication of pneumonia in children. Eur Respir J. 2008;31:1285-91.
- 178. Cowles RA, Lelli JL, Takayasu J, Coran AG. Lung resection ininfants and children with pulmonary infections refractory to medical therapy. J Pediatr Surg. 2002;37(4):643-7.
- 179. Gillet Y, Vanhems P, Lina G, Bes MI, Vandenesch Fo, Floret D, et al. Factors Predicting Mortality in Necrotizing Community Acquired Pneumonia Caused by Staphylococcus aureus Containing Panton Valentine Leukocidin. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;45(3):315-21.
- 180. Donnelly LF, Klosterman LA. The yield of CT of children who have complicated pneumonia and noncontributory chest radiography. Am J Roentgenol. 1998;170:1627-31.
- 181. Patradoon-Ho P, Fitzgerald DA. Lung abscess in children. Paediatr Respir Rev. 2007 Mar;8(1):77-84.
- 182. Waters AM, Kerecuk L, Luk D, Haq MR, Fitzpatrick MM, Gilbert RD, et al. Hemolytic uremic syndrome associated with invasive pneumococcal disease: the United Kingdom experience. J Pediatr. 2007;151:140-4.

- 183. Ceruti E, Contreras J, Neira M. Staphylococcal pneumonia in childhood. Long-term follow-up including pulmonary function studies. . Am J Dis Child. 1971;122:386-92.
- 184. Soto M, Demis T, Landau LI. Pulmonary function following staphylococcal pneumonia in children. Aust Paediatr J. 1983;19:172-4.
- 185. Creech CB, Johnson BG, Bartilson RE, Yang E, Barr FE. Increasing use of extracorporeal life support in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus sepsis in children. Paediatr Crit Care Me. 2007;8(3):231-5.
- 186. Hill SC, Liang L. Smoking in the home and children's health. Tobacco Control. 2008 Feb;17(1):32-7.
- 187. Madhi SA, Levine OS, Hajjeh R, Mansoor OD, Cherian T. Vaccines to prevent pneumonia and improve child survival. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2008 May;86(5):365-72.
- 188. Mulholland K, Hilton S, Adegbola R, Usen S, Oparaugo A, Omosigho C, et al. Randomised trial of Haemophilus influenzae type-b tetanus protein conjugate vaccine [corrected] for prevention of pneumonia and meningitis in Gambian infants. Lancet. 1997 Apr 26;349(9060):1191-7.
- 189. de Andrade ALSS, de Andrade JG, Martelli CMT, e Silva SA, de Oliveira RM, Costa MSN, et al. Effectiveness of Haemophilus influenzae b conjugate vaccine on childhood pneumonia: a case-control study in Brazil. Int J Epidemiol. 2004 Feb;33(1):173-81.
- 190. Greenberg DP, von Konig CH, Heininger U. Health burden of pertussis in infants and children. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2005
  May;24(5 Suppl):S39-43.

- 191. CDC. Pertussis---United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2002;51:73-6.
- 192. Hansen J, Black S, Shinefield H, Cherian T, Benson J, Fireman B, et al. Effectiveness of heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in children younger than 5 years of age for prevention of pneumonia: updated analysis using World Health Organization standardized interpretation of chest radiographs. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2006 Sep;25(9):779-81.
- MR. Decline in pneumonia admissions after routine childhood immunisation with pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in the USA: a time-series analysis. Lancet. 2007 Apr 7;369(9568):1179-86.
- 194. Poehling KA, Lafleur BJ, Szilagyi PG, Edwards KM, Mitchel E, Barth R, et al. Population-based impact of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in young children. Pediatrics. 2004 Sep;114(3):755-61.
- 195. Zhou F, Kyaw MH, Shefer A, Winston CA, Nuorti JP. Health care utilization for pneumonia in young children after routine pneumococcal conjugate vaccine use in the United States. Arch Pediat Adol Med. 2007 Dec;161(12):1162-8.
- 196. Nelson JC, Jackson M, Yu O, Whitney CG, Bounds L, Bittner R, et al. Impact of the introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on rates of community acquired pneumonia in children and adults. Vaccine. 2008 Sep 8;26(38):4947-54.
- 197. Esposito S, Lizioli A, Lastrico A, Begliatti E, Rognoni A, Tagliabue C, et al. Impact on respiratory tract infections of heptavalent pneumococcal

conjugate vaccine administered at 3, 5 and 11 months of age. Resp Res. 2007;8:12.

198. Invasive Pneumococcal Disease (IPD) in England & Wales after 7-valent conjugate vaccine (PCV7); potential impact of 10 and 13-valent vaccines [database on the Internet]. Health Protection Agency. 2009 [cited Jan 2010]. Available from:

http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb\_C/1245581527892.

199. Reichert TA, Sugaya N, Fedson DS, Glezen WP, Simonsen L, Tashiro M. The Japanese experience with vaccinating schoolchildren against influenza. The New England journal of medicine. 2001 Mar 22;344(12):889-96.

200. Kwong JC, Stukel TA, Lim J, McGeer AJ, Upshur RE, Johansen H, et al. The effect of universal influenza immunization on mortality and health care use. PLoS medicine. 2008 Oct 28;5(10):e211.